

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.990 of 2016

Date:07.01.2016

Between:

M/s Sai Communications Networks,
Ponnur, reple by its Manager,
Shaik Mohiddin Sultan

..... Petitioner

And:

The State of A.P., reple, by its
Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration
& Urban Development Department,
Hyderabad and another.

.....Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. J.Ugra Narasimha

Counsel for Respondent No.1: GP for Municipal Admn. (AP)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The purported inaction of respondent No.2 in considering the petitioner's application for grant of permission under Section-4-B of the Cable Television Networks (Regulation) Act, 1995, is assailed in this Writ Petition.

Mr. Nimmagadda Venkateswarlu, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities (Andhra Pradesh), appearing for respondent No.2, on instructions, submitted that the petitioner, who had been running the cable network without obtaining permission from respondent No.2, has applied for such permission only on 29.12.2015 and that the same is under consideration. He has further

submitted that the petitioner's application will be considered by respondent No.2 in accordance with law and a decision will be taken shortly.

In the light of the above facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the petitioner's application for grant of permission, take a decision and communicate the same to the petitioner within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Inasmuch as the petitioner is already running the cable network, respondent No.2 shall not interfere with such running till a decision is taken by it and communicated to the petitioner.

Subject to the above directions, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

As a sequel to disposal of the Writ Petition, W.P.M.P.No.1189 of 2016 shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

*JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA
REDDY*

*07th January, 2016
DR*