

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

WRIT PETITION Nos.2943, 2973 & 3048 of 2016

COMMON ORDER:

The grievance of the petitioner – Colleges in these three writ petitions is that the respondent authorities were not allowing uploading of the details of the students admitted by them for the purpose of ratification. These students were admitted in polytechnic courses for the academic year 2015-2016.

A consequential direction was sought in all these writ petitions to direct the authorities to forthwith ratify and approve the admissions. These admissions were made by the petitioner – Colleges under the Convener's quota relating to leftover vacant seats and minority quota and were filled by way of spot admissions.

Sri Sricharan Telaprolu, learned counsel for the petitioner – Colleges, states that the admission of about 17 students in W.P.No.2943 of 2016, 12 students in W.P.No.2973 of 2016 and 5 students in W.P.No.3048 of 2016 require ratification and approval.

Sri A.Abhishek Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the State Board of Technical Education and Training, Hyderabad as well as the Convener, TS Polycet-2015 (Admissions), Hyderabad, would state that despite several opportunities, the petitioner – Colleges failed to upload the data and therefore, the ratification process could not be extended to the aforementioned students.

Sri Sricharan Telaprolu, learned counsel, would however contest this claim and state that this uploading could not be effected, owing to technical reasons attributable to the respondent authorities themselves.

Be it the petitioner – Colleges or the respondent authorities who are at fault insofar as the uploading of data is concerned, this Court is

of the opinion that the students, whose admissions were otherwise proper and regular, should not be victimized for the lapses in relation to data uploading which is essentially a function to be discharged by the Colleges.

Sri Sricharan Telaprolu, learned counsel, would further state that out of 34 students involved in these three cases, some have discontinued the course and therefore, ratification and approval would have to be restricted to only those who still remain. He would further state that the data in hard copy relating to these students was already made available to the respondent authorities and therefore, his clients may be permitted to make fresh representation seeking redressal of their grievance as regards the existing students.

Accepting this submission, the writ petitions are disposed of permitting the petitioner – Colleges to make fresh representations setting out full details of the students in relation to whose admissions, ratification and approval is sought. Thereupon, the respondent authorities shall consider the said representations with due sympathy towards the cause of the students and take appropriate action in the matter in accordance with law. This exercise shall be completed expeditiously and in any event, not later than two weeks from the date of submission of representations by the petitioner – Colleges along with supporting data.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed in the light of this final order. No order as to costs.

SANJAY KUMAR, J

Date:29.02.2016

GJ