

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE ANIS**

WRIT PETITION No.29133 of 2016

Between:

Anumula Sravani

PETITIONER

And

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Health, Medical and Family Welfare Department, A.P. Secretariat Buildings, Saifabad, Hyderabad, and others.

RESPONSENTS

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE ANIS**

WRIT PETITION No.29133 of 2016

ORDER: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice V. Ramasubramanian)

The petitioner, who had to study in various States in the country on account of her father being employed in the Indian Army, has come up with the above writ petition seeking a Mandamus to treat her as a local candidate for the purpose of admission to professional courses in the State of Andhra Pradesh.

Heard Mr.V.V.N. Narasimham learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Taddi Nageswara Rao, learned counsel takes notice for the 3rd respondent-university and Mr. P. Govind Reddy, learned counsel takes notice for the 4th respondent.

According to the petitioner, both of her parents were born in Prakasam and Kurnool Districts, which are now part of the State of Andhra Pradesh, and studied in these respective districts. The petitioner's father secured employment in the Indian Army on account of which he was posted in different places. The petitioner was accordingly made to study in Kendriya Vidyalaya in different states.

The petitioner appeared in AP EAMCET-2016, for admission to professional courses in the State of Andhra Pradesh and secured the rank 6553. But the petitioner is not considered as a

local candidate, on account of her sojourn through out the country.
Hence the present writ petition.

It is contended by Mr. P. Govind Reddy learned counsel for the 4th respondent that the petitioner may not satisfy the criteria of a local candidate, as per G.O.Ms.No.132, dated 13.06.2016 and that therefore she has to compete only with the 15% quota, which is kept for unreserved categories.

But we do not agree. A person, who is a native of the State of A.P., but who was made to travel all over the country, on account of her father serving the nation, cannot be considered as a non-local. When the persons, who migrated from the State, generations ago to different countries and become successful in life, are celebrated by every State as a native of their own state, persons who serve the nation cannot be disowned. The logic that applies to the people, who succeed in life, should also apply to the people who want to be successful in life. Therefore, the writ petition is allowed directing the respondents to treat the petitioner as a local candidate, in view of her nativity in the State of Andhra Pradesh. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

JUSTICE ANIS.

30th August, 2016

Note: Issue C.C. today.
Js.