

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.SHANKAR NARAYANA**

**WRIT APPEAL Nos.275, 286 & 291 of 2009, WRIT
PETITION Nos.826, 8268, 8862, 21819 and 26590 of 2006,
27352 of 2007, 10549 of 2008, and 22200 of 2009.**

COMMON JUDGMENT: *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice R.Subhash Reddy)*

Writ Petition Nos. 826, 8268, 8862, 21819 and 26590 of 2006, 27352 of 2007, 10549 of 2008, and 22200 of 2009 are filed questioning the proceedings initiated under the Land Acquisition Act for the purpose of acquisition of lands belonging to the petitioners for right main canal of Polavaram Project.

Writ Appeal Nos.275, 286 and 291 of 2009 are filed against interim orders passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court in Writ Petition Nos.21819, 26590 and 8268 of 2006 respectively.

When the writ appeals were listed for hearing, at the request of the parties, the same were tagged with the aforesaid writ petitions.

During the course of hearing, Sri D. Srinivas, the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State, brought to the notice of this Court that all the petitioners in the writ petitions have consented for acquisition, and as such, the subject matter of the writ petitions has become infructuous.

On the other hand, Sri M.V. Durga Prasad, learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioners, submitted that some of the claimants are not agreeing for the market value of the acquired lands, as offered towards the compensation for acquisition, having consented for acquisition of lands.

As much as it is not in dispute that all the petitioners in the writ petitions have consented for acquisition, no directions can be granted as prayed for. At the same time, if any of the claimants are not agreeing for the market value proposed, the authorities are directed to complete the acquisition proceedings as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and pass award in accordance with law. If acquisition proceedings are lapsed in any of the cases, the authorities are directed to initiate fresh acquisition proceedings in such cases as expeditiously as possible.

Subject to the above directions, these writ appeals and writ petitions are disposed of.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

JUSTICE A.SHANKAR NARAYANA

22.01.2016
v v