

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

WRIT PETITION No. 650 of 2009

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Assistant Government Pleader for School Education.

Having regard to the limited prayer made at the time of hearing by the counsel for the petitioner, I am not referring to various facts stated by the petitioner or denied by the respondents.

The grievance of the petitioner is that his request for taking into consideration the aided service from 1989 to 1992 is not considered for fixation of his scale of pay. The Regional Joint Director, School Education, Warangal/3rd respondent, through letter Rc.No.22/B4/05 dated 30.05.2007, made recommendation favourable to the petitioner to the Director of School Education, Hyderabad/2nd respondent. Through letter No.1752/B4-3/2007 dated 17.08.2007, the 2nd respondent requested the Government to pass appropriate orders on the request of the petitioner. The Government is yet to take a decision and the Government may be directed to pass appropriate orders on the letter of the 2nd respondent dated 17.08.2007.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for School

Education, on the latest instructions dated 01.01.2016 received by him, submits that the Department has taken a decision on the request of the petitioner, and if sufficient time is given, the decision taken by the Department would be communicated to the petitioner.

I am not entering into the merits of the claim of the petitioner, as it is brought to my notice that the District Educational Officer, Khammam, 4th respondent herein, has taken a decision on the request of the petitioner and the decision would be communicated to the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since the 4th respondent has already taken a decision on the request of the petitioner, he is directed to communicate the decision to the petitioner within eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is needless to observe that if the petitioner for any reason is aggrieved by the decision of the 4th respondent, he is free to assail the communication, in accordance with law.

With the above observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand dismissed.

JUSTICE S.V.

BHATT

22nd February, 2016
cbs

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

WRIT PETITION No. 650 of 2009

22nd February, 2016

cbs