

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.207 of 2016

-

ORDER:

Heard.

The petitioner's application for conversion under the A.P.Agricultural Land (Conversion of Non-Agricultural Purpose) Act, 2006 (for short 'the Act'), was rejected under the impugned endorsement, dated 17-12-2015,

The petitioner has an efficacious alternative remedy of preferring an appeal under Section 8 of the Act, which provides an appeal against any order of the Revenue Divisional Officer to the Collector within sixty days from the date of receipt of the order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no survey was conducted and no notice was issued to the petitioner before passing of the impugned order by the 2nd respondent.

Even the said ground can be urged by the petitioner before the appellate authority and I see no reason to entertain the writ petition by bypassing the appellate remedy. bypass

However, the petitioner is permitted to file an appeal before the appellate authority and if any such appeal is filed, the appellate authority shall look into the grievance of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders expeditiously.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

Date: 05-01-2016

Prv

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.207 of 2016

05-01-2016

Prv