

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C. PRAVEEN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.22699 OF 2016

ORDER:

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home. With consent of the counsel appearing for both parties, the present Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage.

2. Since this Court is not going into the merits of the case, issuing notice to the unofficial respondent may not be necessary.

3. The present Writ Petition is filed with the following prayer:

“to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 2 to 5 in collusion with respondent No.6 in not giving protection to the petitioner to cultivate his land in Sy.No.168 to an extent of Ac.1.32 Gts., and Sy.No.169/7Aa to an extent of Ac.1.25 Gts., situated at Pusalapadu Village, Thripuraram Mandal, Nalgonda District in the light of the injunction order granted in I.A.No.795 of 2012 in O.S.No.252 of 2012 dated 18.09.2012 and in threatening the petitioner not to enter into his land and interfering with the civil dispute is highly arbitrary, bad and illegal.”

4. The grievance of the petitioner appears to be that respondents 2 to 5, in collusion with the 6th respondent, are threatening the petitioner not to enter into his land, in spite of interim injunction order in his favour and interfering with the civil disputes pending between him and the 6th respondent herein.

5. Though various grounds are raised in the writ petition, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer seeking a

direction to respondents 2 to 5 not to interfere with his possession and enjoyment over the property in dispute.

6. Learned Government Pleader for Home submits that allegations made in the writ petition are all false and the respondents are not interfering with the cultivation of the land in dispute by the petitioner.

7. Having regard to the above, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondents-police to be mindful of the limits of their jurisdiction in criminal law and not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner over the property in dispute.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

JUSTICE C. PRAVEEN KUMAR

Date:13.07.2016
INL