

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No. 42674 of 2016

ORDER: (*Per VRS,J*)

The petitioner has come up with the above writ petition, challenging a notice issued under Section 8 (6) of the Security Interest (Enforcement) Rules.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. M. Srikanth Reddy, learned standing counsel for State Bank of India, takes notice for the respondents 1 and 2.


3. Till 03.11.2016, there was no Presiding Officer for the Debts Recovery Tribunal. Therefore, we were entertaining writ petitions. But, now a Presiding Officer has taken over charge. Therefore, it is appropriate that the petitioner goes before the Tribunal and seeks appropriate remedy.

4. With the above liberty, the Writ Petition is dismissed. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions if any pending in the writ petition shall stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J

G. SHYAM PRASAD, J

8th December, 2016
cbs



THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN ✓

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. SHYAM PRASAD



Writ Petition No. 42674 of 2016
(dismissed)

8th December, 2016

cbs