

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9785 of 2010

ORDER:

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioner/accused in Crime No.232 of 2010 on the file of the Station House Officer, Pahadisharief Police Station, Cyberabad, registered for the offences under Section 3 (1) (x) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 506 I.P.C.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

3. A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioner is the sole accused and the second respondent is the *de facto* complainant in Crime No.232 of 2010.

4. As per the allegations made in the complaint, on 10.06.2010 at about 12:00 Noon, the petitioner along with others entered into the land of the second respondent and conducted survey of the land without any authority. It is further alleged that the petitioner insulted the second respondent in the name of her caste and threatened her with dire consequences.

5. Whether the petitioner has committed the alleged offences or not will come to light during the course of investigation. While exercising the inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the Court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the complaint only. The Court is not justified in embarking upon an enquiry as to probability, reliability or genuineness of the allegations made in the complaint at the initial stage of investigation. The material placed before the Court is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principle enunciated in **R.P.Kapoor v. State of Punjab**^[1], **State of Haryana v. Bhajan Lal**^[2], **V.Y.Jose v. State of Gurajat**^[3] and **Teeja Devi v. State of Rajasthan**^[4], I am of the considered view that this is not a fit case to quash the criminal proceedings at the threshold.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the petitioner till completion of the investigation.

8. A perusal of the record reveals that on 01.10.2010 this Court granted interim stay for a period of four weeks and thereafter on 03.11.2010 the same was extended until further orders.

9. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also the interim order of this Court dated 01.10.2010, the Station House Officer, Pahadisharief Police Station, Cyberabad, is hereby directed not to arrest the petitioner/accused in Crime No.232 of 2010 till completion of the investigation.

10. With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

11. Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

-

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date: 25.02.2016

lvd

^[1] AIR 1960 SC 866

^[2] AIR 1992 SC 604

^[3] (2009) 3 SCC 78

[\[4\]](#) 2015 (1) ACR 564 (SC)