

The Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy

Writ Petition No.3891 of 2016

-
Date: 09.02.2016

Between:

Smt.Shaik Sameeunnisa

.. Petitioner

and

Govt. of Andhra Pradesh
rep. by its Prl.Secretary
Panchayat Raj Dept.,
Hyderabad and 3 others

.. Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner : Mrs.D.Lalitha Prasad

Counsel for respondent Nos.1 & 2: AGP for Panchayat Raj

-
Counsel for respondent No.3: AGP for Revenue

-
-
-

The Court made the following:

-
-
-

Order:

The petitioner, who claims to have obtained

building permission in 1994, filed this Writ Petition feeling aggrieved by the action of respondent No.4 in interfering with the activity of carrying on repairs to her house, which is, allegedly, in existence.

The petitioner has filed photographs, from which it is clearly evident that no house is in existence as claimed by her, but, a purely temporary structure appears to have been recently erected with a few pillars and a slanted tinned roof without side walls. By any standards, the said structure cannot be described as a building. Therefore, any additions and alterations to such a structure cannot be termed as mere repairs. If a building permission was obtained by the petitioner as claimed by her in 1994, its validity has expired long back. Unless a fresh permission is obtained by her, she cannot raise any construction in the name of repairs and complain of interference by respondent No.4. In this view of the matter, I am not inclined to entertain this Writ Petition. However, the petitioner is permitted to make a fresh application for building permission.

Subject to the above observations, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel to dismissal of the Writ Petition,
WPMP.No.4993 of 2016, filed by the petitioner for
interim relief, is disposed of as infructuous.

(C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy, J)

Dt: 9th February, 2016
Iur