

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.1712 of 2016

ORDER:

-

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 3 in Crime No.665 of 2015 on the file of Station House Officer, Kukatpally Housing Board Police Station, Ranga Reddy District, registered under Sections 498(A) and 363 IPC and 3, 4 and 6 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioners are accused Nos.2 and 3 and the second respondent is the *de facto* complainant in Crime No.665 of 2015. The marriage of the *de facto* complainant was formed with A1 on 15.05.2009 at Veeravaram of Kadiyam Mandal, East Godavari District, as per Hindu rites and caste customs. Out of lawful wedlock, the *de facto* complainant and A1 were blessed with two children. As per the allegations made in the complaint, the parents of the second respondent gave gold and silver ornaments and Rs.5.00 lakhs of cash to A1 towards dowry. It is further alleged that the petitioners herein subjected the second respondent to cruelty and kidnapped her children. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the children are under the care and custody of the second respondent as on today also. Whether the petitioners have committed the alleged offence or not will come to light during the course of investigation.

It is needless to say that the court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the complaint while exercising inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., in order to quash the criminal proceedings at the initial stage of investigation. The court is not justified in embarking upon an enquiry to ascertain the truthfulness, genuineness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint. It is a settled principle of law that the inherent power should not be exercised to stifle a legitimate investigation. If the allegations made in the complaint do not constitute the offence much less the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioners, then this court can quash the proceedings in order to prevent abuse of process of court thereby to secure the ends of justice.

I have carefully perused the material available on record in order to ascertain whether the allegations made in the complaint are patently absurd and inherently improbable thereby to quash the proceedings. The material available on record is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principle enunciated in ***R.P.KAPOOR v. STATE OF PUNJAB***^[1], ***STATE OF HARYANA v. BHAJAN LAL***^[2], ***V.Y.JOSE V STATE OF GUJARAT***^[3] ***AND TEEJA DEVI v. STATE OF RAJASTHAN***^[4], I am of the considered view that this is not a fit case to quash the criminal proceedings at the threshold.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the

petitioners till completion of investigation.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the Station House Officer, Kukatpally Housing Board Police Station, Ranga Reddy District, is hereby directed not to arrest the petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 3 in Crime No.665 of 2015 till completion of investigation.

With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

—
T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date:12.02.2016

Rns

[\[1\]](#) AIR 1960 SC 866

[\[2\]](#) AIR 1992 SC 604

[\[3\]](#) (2009) 3 SCC 78

[\[4\]](#) 2015 (1) ACR 564 (SC)