

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.2014 of 2016

Date:25.01.2016

**Between:**

Ch. Sadhakar Reddy,  
S/o Ram Reddy

**..... Petitioner**

**And:**

State of Telangana., repled by its Principal  
Secretary, Youth Advancement, Tourism  
and Culture Department,  
Hyderabad and three others.

**.....Respondents**

Counsel for the Petitioner: Ms. S.V.Indira

Counsel for Respondent No.1: GP for Tourism (TS)

Counsel for Respondent Nos.2 to 4: AGP for Revenue (TS)

The Court made the following:

**ORDER:**

This Writ Petition is filed for the following  
substantive relief:

“.... to issue a writ, order or direction in the  
nature of *Mandamus* or otherwise declaring  
the action of the respondents in cancelling  
the Memorandum of Agreement without  
giving any notice and opportunity violating  
not only principles of natural justice but also  
violating Articles-14, 19 [1] [g] and 21 of the  
Constitution of India while keeping the GPA  
live executed by the petitioner in favour of

respondent No.1 for the total extent of land admeasuring Acs.11.38 guntas in Survey Nos.314, 314/A, 314/A/1 and Survey No.246 out of the total land admeasuring Acs.14.38 guntas situated in Sidapoor Village, Yadigirigutta Mandal, Nalgonda District, as arbitrary and illegal, and consequently, to direct the respondents not to disturb the peaceful possession of land of the petitioner in Survey Nos. 314, 314/A, 314/A/1 and Sy.No.246 admeasuring Acs.17.38 guntas situated at Sidapoor Village, Yadigirigutta Mandal, Nalgonda District without following the due process of law, or alternatively restore back the land in Sy.Nos.314, 314/A, 314/A/1 and Sy.No.246 admeasuring Acs.17.38 guntas situated at Sidapoor Village, Yadigirigutta Mandal, Nalgonda District to the petitioner.”

At the hearing, Mrs. S.Indira, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that her client’s grievance is that the respondents have not been cancelling the memorandum of agreement of sale. However, the prayer sought by the petitioner in the Writ Petition conveys the opposite meaning. The learned counsel has fairly admitted this position.

In view of the same, the Writ Petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh Writ Petition with proper pleadings and prayer.

As a sequel to dismissal of the Writ Petition, WPMP.No.2552 of 2016 shall stand dismissed as infructuous.

---

*JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA  
REDDY*

*25<sup>th</sup> January, 2016  
DR*