

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V. SESA SAI

WRIT PETITION No.4712 OF 2016

-

ORDER:

Heard Sri Kuna Reddy Anji Reddy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for School Education, appearing for the respondents.

2. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioners is the action of the respondents in not absorbing them against the aided posts, despite the proposals sent by the 4th respondent school. According to the petitioners 1 to 3, they have been working in the 4th respondent school, since the year 1997 and 1998. The sum and substance of the case of the petitioners is that though the 4th respondent sent proposals to the District Educational Officer – 3rd respondent, as long back as in the year 2003, requesting for absorption against unaided posts, no action has been taken by the respondents in the said direction.

3. Having regard to the nature of controversy and in the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the interest of justice would be met, if the petitioners are permitted to make representation afresh to the respondents for redressal of the grievance for consideration by the respondents and for passing appropriate orders, by fixing some timeframe.

4. For the aforesaid reasons, Writ Petition stands disposed of, without going into merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioners, permitting the petitioners herein to make fresh representation to the respondent authorities for redressal of their grievance, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and if any

such representation is made to the respondents, the same be considered and appropriate orders be passed, in accordance with law, within a period of three months thereafter.

5. Miscellaneous petitions pending consideration, if any, in the Writ Petition shall stand closed in consequence. No order as to costs.

A.V.SESHA SAI, J

22.02.2016
SS