

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

-

CONTEMPT CASE No.1537 of 2015

-

BETWEEN

Miss Soghra Begum

... PETITIONER

AND

Asadullah.

...RESPONDENT

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 17.02.2016

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be No
allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked No
to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the No
fair copy of the Judgment?

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the respondent had already reported on 27.01.2016, as under:

“Learned counsel appearing for the respondent states on instructions that a Committee, which was appointed, was already terminated and the petitioner-muthawali alone is managing. Therefore, *prima facie*, nothing survives in the contempt case.

Post on 05.02.2015 under the caption ‘For Dismissal’”

2. Since there was no representation by the petitioner, this contempt case was directed to be listed under the caption

‘For Dismissal’. Today also, nobody appears. Apparently, since petitioner-mutawalli himself is managing, the cause in the contempt case does not survive.

The contempt case is dismissed. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

February 17, 2016

DSK