

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.5298 OF 2016

ORDER:

Heard.

The petitioner questions the notice issued by the 3rd respondent as early as 28.02.2015 in file No.RC.B.484/2014. It appears from the record that on a complaint of the Sarpanch an enquiry was held on the ground that the petitioner's family holds extensive assigned land. The petitioner has filed reply on 06.04.2015 and also obtained village documents under Right to Information Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, states that the crucial document applied for by the petitioner has not been given to him as the same is not available with the 3rd respondent. I do not see that it is a ground for quashing the impugned notice, as the notice merely proposed to hold an enquiry. If the petitioner seeks any further document, it is for him to pursue proper remedies under Right to Information Act, but that is not the ground to quash the notice, particularly when the said notice was issued more than one year back.

On the documents available with respondents 3 to 5 the petitioner filed additional reply. If the petitioner is not satisfied with the information received, so far, he is at liberty to approach the authorities under Right to Information Act for further information. Therefore, I do not see any reason to entertain the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

18.02.2016
kvrn

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.5298 OF 2016

DATE: 18.02.2016

kvrn