

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

W.P.Nos.31854 & 26463 of 2014

COMMON ORDER

W.P.No.31854 of 2014 is filed by the petitioner, who is the mother of the deceased employee-M.Srinivas, challenging the letter dated 12.09.2014 issued by the first respondent, whereunder she was informed that the death benefits of his son would be settled in favour of the person nominated by him while in service.

W.P.No.26463 of 2014 is filed by the petitioner, who is the wife of the deceased employee, challenging the inaction of the respondents 1 and 2 in not paying the death benefits of her husband.

When the writ petitions are pending, the petitioners entered into compromise and the terms of compromise are as under:

- “1.That the 1st party shall issue a letter to the employer of B.Srinivas i.e., Rastriya Ispat Nigam Limited, Visakhapatnam Steel Plant, Visakhapatnam, to pay Rs.2,45,000/- in favour of the 1st party.
- 2.That upon payment of the said amount the 1st party shall not have any claim whatsoever against the 2nd party in any form. And the 2nd party is not responsible for any of the liabilities of the 1st party.
- 3.That the 1st party is the owner of residential property bearing H.No.4-46, Prashanthi Nagar, Ramavaram Post, Kothagudem Taluka, Khammam District, which is self acquired property of the 1st party. The 2nd party hereby declares that they will not have any claims whatsoever in respect of the residential property of the 1st party she is at liberty to deal with property as per her own accords.
4. Both the 1st party and party No.2 of the 2nd party have agreed to file a joint compromise memo before the

Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in W.P.No.31854 of 2014 in terms of this memorandum of compromise.

5. In view of the terms of compromise arrived here in either party shall not have claim against each other. More over the 1st party has no concern of any of the liability of 2nd party.

6. These terms of compromise entered into with their will and consent and there is no threat or coercion from anybody."

Both parties are present and they are identified by their respective counsel. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the first respondent submits that the first respondent has no objection for the said compromise.

In view of the same, both the Writ Petitions are disposed of in terms of the above compromise. The 1st respondent is directed to settle the death benefits to the petitioners as expeditiously as possible, but not less than eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

30th August, 2016
rkk

