

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY TWO
THOUSAND AND SIXTEEN

-
Present

HON’BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-
WRIT PETITION No.21442 of 2012

Between:

M. Madhusudhana Rao,
S/o. Mala Kondaiah,
Aged about 46 years,
Working as Mandal in-Charge,
A.P. State Housing Corporation Ltd.,
Talluru Mandal, Prakasam District.

.. Petitioners

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Housing Corporation,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & 29 others

.. Respondents

The Court made the following:

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-

-
-
-

-

-

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.21442 of 2012

-

ORDER:

No representation to the petitioner. In this case, the petitioner is challenging the dispensing with in-charge arrangements as Mandal In-charge and posting him back as Technical Work Inspector.

2. When the matter is taken up, learned Standing Counsel produced the order in W.P.No.21523 of 2012, dated 29.01.2016, and contended that the subject matter of the writ petition is already decided by this Court in the said writ petition.

3. The issue whether a Technical Work Inspector can be dispensed with Mandal In-charge arrangements and be posted as Technical Work Inspector was considered by this Court in the above writ petition. This Court held that the substantive post of the petitioner being Technical Work Inspector, his transfer and posting as Technical Work Inspector would not affect his conditions of service and emoluments and the writ petition was dismissed subject to observations made therein. The Court granted liberty to the Corporation that if and when there is requirement and whenever the services of the petitioners are required, their services can be utilized notwithstanding the disposal of the writ petition.

4. Having regard to the reasons assigned in the said writ petition, there is no merit in this writ petition and the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, mere disposal of the writ petition does not take away the competency of the respondent Corporation in utilizing the services of the petitioner whenever there is requirement and wherever the services of the petitioners are required. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 5th February, 2016

KL



HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-

-

-
-
-
-
-

-

-

WRIT PETITION No.21442 of 2012

-

Date: 5th February, 2016

KL