HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION Nos. 24392, 31451, 32154 and 34431 of 1997; 4992, 11887, 12626, 13105 and 33387 of 2008; 26031 of 1999; 157, 5214, 12983, 20296 of 2000; 3914, 15545, 19471 and 22266 of 2001; 916, 4954, 8671, 8677, 14483, 15276, 15377, 20378, 22948, 24132, 24776 and 24779 of 2002; 101, 14756, 16948, 24166 and 27067 of 2003; 8157, 8541, 8977, 13875 and 18574 of 2004; 10099 and 26905 of 2006; 15282, 15931, 18073, 19665 and 22120 of 2007; 2502, 4851, 5367, 5405, 6014, 6115 and 9482 of 2008

Date: 12.04.2016

W.P.No.24392 of 1997
Between:

ABT Limited, rep., by its Senior Manager,
Tamilnadu.

... Petitioner

And

The Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise,
A.P., Hyderabad & another.

... Respondents

This Court made the following:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION Nos. 24392, 31451, 32154 and 34431 of 1997; 4992, 11887, 12626, 13105 and 33387 of 2008; 26031 of 1999; 157, 5214, 12983, 20296 of 2000; 3914, 15545, 19471 and 22266 of 2001; 916, 4954, 8671, 8677, 14483, 15276, 15377, 20378, 22948, 24132, 24776 and 24779 of 2002; 101, 14756, 16948, 24166 and 27067 of 2003; 8157, 8541, 8977, 13875 and 18574 of 2004; 10099 and 26905 of 2006; 15282, 15931, 18073, 19665 and 22120 of 2007; 2502, 4851, 5367, 5405, 6014, 6115 and 9482 of 2008

PC: (per the Hon'ble The Acting Chief Justice Dilip B. Bhosale)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, in all fairness, state that these writ petitions deserve to be dismissed in view of the reference answered by the Full Bench, dated 26.06.2015, in Writ Petition No.20296 of 2000 and batch, following the decision of the Supreme Court in **Commissioner, Prohibition and Excise, A.P. and another vs. Sharma Gouda**[1]**.**

In the circumstances, all the writ petitions are dismissed following the judgment of the Full Bench, dated 26.06.2015, passed in the aforementioned batch of writ petitions.

Consequently, pending miscellaneous applications, shall also stand closed. There shall no order as to costs.

DILIP. B. BHOSALE, ACJ

P. NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 12.04.2016
ES

---

[1] (2007) 6 SCC 42