

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

[Special Original Jurisdiction]

WEDNESDAY THE THIRTYFIRST DAY OF AUGUST, 2016

PRESENT
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

WRIT PETITION NO. 29359 OF 2016

Between:

M/s. V.R. Naik Contractors, Khammam
Rep. by its Managing Partner,
Vadithya Ramesh Naik ... Petitioner

V/s.

The State of Telangana
Rep. by its Principal Secretary
Department of Employment & Training
Secretariat, Hyderabad & Ors. ... Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri M.V.S. Prasad

Counsel for the Respondents: GP for Employment & Training

The court made the following: [order follows]

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

-
-
-

WRIT PETITION NO. 29359 OF 2016

ORDER :

Vide the present writ petition, petitioner seeks mandamus, declaring the action of respondents in not considering the petitioner for empanelment for entrustment of contract work for providing man power for the period 2016-17 vide Rc.No.A-1/OS/989/2010, dated 29/7/2016 without passing any reject order as arbitrary, illegal, capricious and opposed to principles of natural justice and violative of Articles of Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner for empanelment of contract work for providing man power for the period 2016-17 and to pass such other suitable orders as this Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Employment and Training for respondents.

3. Keeping in view of the averments made in the affidavit

filed in support of the petition and submission of the counsel for the petitioner, I hereby direct the second and third respondents to take decision on the supply of the petitioner within four weeks if the decision has already not been taken. The decision shall be communicated to the petitioner within three days from the date of decision taken. If the application of the petitioner is not rejected, pending for the compliance of objections, the objections shall be communicated to the petitioner accordingly. Till then the respondents are directed not to give effect to the Tender Notification, which is at page No.6, P-1 of the writ petition.

4. I hereby make it clear that if the petitioner is still aggrieved with the action or inaction of the respondents, he is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum.

5. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission. No costs.

6. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT.

31/08/2016

I s L

NB CC today

B/o. I s L

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

-

-
-
-
-

DN