

-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.120 of 2016

ORDER:

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/A.1 to A.3 in Crime No.317 of 2015 on the file of the Station House Officer, Kachiguda Police Station, Hyderabad, registered for the offences under Sections 406, 420, 448, 506 and 509 read with 34 I.P.C.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

3. A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioners are accused Nos.1 to 3 and the second respondent is the *de facto* complainant in Crime No.317 of 2015.

4. As per the allegations made in the complaint, the second respondent invested an amount of Rs.3,00,000/- in the establishment of the petitioners. It is further alleged that the petitioners are postponing the payment of money on one pretext or other with an intention to cheat the second respondent. It is also alleged that on 25.06.2015, the petitioners threatened the second respondent with dire consequences.

5. A perusal of the record reveals that petitioner No.2 filed I.P.No.24 of 2015 on the file of the Additional Judge-cum-VI Senior Civil Judge, City Small Causes Court, Hyderabad and the same was allowed on 14.08.2015. Whether the petitioners have committed the alleged offences or not will come to light during the course of investigation.

6. While exercising the inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the Court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the complaint only. The Court is not justified in embarking upon an

enquiry as to probability, reliability or genuineness of the allegations made in the complaint at the initial stage of investigation. The material placed before the Court is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in **R.P.Kapoor v. State of Punjab**^[1] and **State of Haryana v. Bhajanlal**^[2], I am of the considered view that it is not a fit case to quash the proceedings at the initial stage of the investigation.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the petitioners till completion of the investigation.

9. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to direct the Station House Officer, Kachiguda Police Station, Hyderabad, not to arrest the petitioners/A.1 to A.3 in Crime No.317 of 2015 till completion of the investigation.

10. With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

11. Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

-

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date: 06.01.2016

lvd

^[1] AIR 1960 SC 866

^[2] AIR 1992 SC 604