

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.SHYAM PRASAD

Writ Petition Nos.19234, 19242, 19243 & 19244 of 2011

Date:31.8.2016

Writ Petition No.19234 of 2011

Between:

V.Mallikarjuna Rao,
S/o V.V.Ramanarao

..... Petitioner

And:

Telegraph Traffic Employees Co-Operative
Credit Society, Hyderabad and six others.

....Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr. K.Mamata Choudary

Counsel for respondent No.1: Mr. K.Veerabhadra Rao

Counsel for respondent Nos.3 to 7: Mr. B.Devanand

The Court made the following:

COMMON ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)*

These Writ Petitions are filed for a *Mandamus* to declare the inaction of respondent No.1 in giving effect to the orders of respondent No.2-District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Hyderabad and in not paying amounts to the petitioners as per the orders, dated 31.01.2011, 11.02.2011, 25.01.2011 and 03.01.2011 in C.C.Nos.72, 337, 77 and 377 of 2010, respectively, of respondent No.2, as illegal and arbitrary.

A perusal of the record shows that a Writ Petition, which is similar to the present batch of Writ Petitions, filed by one M.Gangadhara Rao and others was allowed by a learned single Judge. However, the order in the said Writ Petition was set aside by a Division Bench of this Court by its judgment, dated 21.11.2014, in Writ Appeal No.1431 of 2014. While allowing the said Writ Appeal, the Division Bench held that when the orders passed by respondent No.2 have reached finality, the writ petitioners are entitled to invoke the effective alternative remedy of seeking enforcement of the said orders and a writ Court cannot entertain the Writ Petitions of that nature.

At the hearing, it is not disputed that the issues raised in the present batch of Writ Petitions are identical to that raised in Writ Appeal No.1431 of 2014. Therefore, following the judgment in the afore-mentioned Writ Appeal, these Writ

Petitions are dismissed, however, with liberty to the petitioners to approach respondent No.2 seeking enforcement of the orders passed by it.

As a sequel to dismissal of the Writ Petitions, interim orders granted in the respective Writ Petitions are vacated and all the pending Miscellaneous Petitions are dismissed as infructuous.

JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

JUSTICE G.SHYAM PRASAD

31st August 2016

DR

