

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY TWO
THOUSAND AND SIXTEEN

-
Present

HON’BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-
WRIT PETITION No.23815 of 2012

Between:

Jagadabhi Nagaraju,
S/o. Veeramraju, Aged about 42 years,
Working as Mandal In-charge,
A.P. State Housing Corporation Ltd.,
P.C. Palli Mandal, Prakasam District,
R/o. Sujatha Nagar, 3rd Line,
Ongole, Prakasam District & another

.. Petitioners

AND

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Housing Corporation,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & 29 others

.. Respondents

The Court made the following:

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-

-
-
-

-

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.23815 of 2012

-
ORDER:

In this case, the petitioners are challenging the dispensing with in-charge arrangements as Mandal In-charge and posting them back as Technical Work Inspectors.

2. When the matter is taken up, learned Standing Counsel produced the order in W.P.No.21523 of 2012, dated 29.01.2016, and contended that the subject matter of the writ petition is already decided by this Court in the said writ petition.

3. The issue whether a Technical Work Inspector can be dispensed with Mandal In-charge arrangements and be posted as Technical Work Inspector was considered by this Court in the above writ petition. This Court held that the substantive post of the petitioner being Technical Work Inspector, his transfer and posting as Technical Work Inspector, would not affect his conditions of service. Moreover, no salary and allowances of the post of Assistant Engineer were paid when he was posted as Mandal In-charge and the writ petition was dismissed subject to observations made therein. The Court granted liberty that if and when there is a requirement of the services of the petitioners, the same can be utilized notwithstanding the disposal of the writ petition.

4. Having regard to the reasons assigned in the said writ petition, there is no merit in this writ petition and the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, mere disposal of the writ petition does not take away the competency of the respondents in utilizing the services of the petitioner whenever there is requirement and wherever the services of the petitioners are required. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 5th February, 2016

KL



HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-

-

-
-
-
-
-

-

-

WRIT PETITION No.23815 of 2012

-

Date: 5th February, 2016

KL