

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

* * *

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No. 222 of 2016

BETWEEN

Chalamcharla Narayana and others

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue
Department and others

...RESPONDENTS

Date of Order pronounced: 05.01.2016

-

ORDER:-

Consequent upon setting aside the order passed by the Tahsildar, fourth respondent, resuming the land from the petitioners vide orders of this Court in W.P.No.11680 and 29486 of 2015 dated 10.09.2015, petitioners seek restoration of their names in the revenue records. Learned counsel for the petitioners state that based on the order of cancellation of assignment the revenue records were changed by deleting the names of the petitioners and recording the lands in the name of the Government. Subsequently, when the writ petitions, referred to above, were allowed, as a consequence the petitioner's names are requires to be restored back in the revenue records.

Since the fourth respondent was not complying with the said request, petitioners are stated to have given a legal notice to the District Collector, second respondent, dated 16.11.2015 and alleging inaction the present writ petition is filed.

2. It is apparent after hearing both the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader that no further action is initiated after orders of this Court, referred to above, and as a consequence of the said order, the names of the petitioners, if deleted from the revenue records, are required to be restored back. However, I do not find any representation being made by the petitioners before the recording authority viz., the fourth respondent and merely the legal notice issued to the District Collector cannot be taken into consideration.

Hence, with the liberty to the petitioners to approach the fourth respondent by making appropriate representation, writ petition is dismissed. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

January 5, 2016
LMV