

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE NO.457 OF 2016

DATED:18-03-2016

Between:

Dhanekula Harish

... Petitioner

And

Sri V. Murali Krishna
The Tahsildar
Penamaluru Mandal
Krishna District

... Respondent

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER: Mr. S. Bala Mohan Ranga

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS: -

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER:

-

This contempt case is filed alleging disobedience of order dt.06.1.2016 in Writ Petition No.376 of 2016.

By the above mentioned order, this Court has directed the petitioner to make an application for release of the seized vehicles before the respondent and the latter was directed to consider the application and take an appropriate decision, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of the same. The grievance of the petitioner is that in spite of the said order, the respondent has not released the vehicles. The petitioner has filed a copy of the endorsement in Rc. No.612/2013, dt.20.1.2016, of the respondent wherein he has *inter alia* stated that he has not received any report from the Police informing that they have seized the vehicles and therefore immediately after receipt of the report, appropriate action will be taken in terms of G.O. Ms. No.6, dt.12.01.2015.

In my opinion, with the issue of the above mentioned endorsement, the respondent has complied with the direction issued by this Court to consider the petitioner's application and take an appropriate decision. In this view of the matter, I do not find any merit in the plea of the petitioner that the respondent is guilty of the contempt of court. If the petitioner feels aggrieved by such endorsement, he shall be free to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

Subject to the liberty given above, the contempt case is dismissed.

C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

18-03-2016

bnr