



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.10.2016

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.2973 of 2013

and

M.P(MD)No.2 of 2013

A.Ranjit Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Senior Regional Manager,
Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.,
Chennai LPG Regional Office,
Petro Bhavan, 3rd Floor,
New No.82, Old No.47,
TTK Road, Alwarpet,
Chennai 600 016.

2.G.N.Sivakumar

(R-2 impleaded vide court order

dated 10.12.2015 in M.P(MD)No.1/2015) ... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order dated 13/02/2013 made in Ref.No.CHNLRO/RH/RGGLV-IE issued by the respondents and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to issue confirmation order to the petitioner.

For Petitioner	:Mr.P.Arun Jayatram
For 1 st Respondent	: Mr.M.Sridar
For 2 nd respondent	: Mr.K.Balasundaram

ORDER

Challenging the order passed by the first respondent rejecting application of the petitioner for grant of LPG distributorship, the present writ petition has been filed.

2.According to the petitioner, as per condition No.4 of brochure issued by the respondent, the applicant should maintain minimum of sum of Rs.2 lakhs in his name on the date of filing application and the said condition has been duly complied with by the petitioner. However, the impugned order has been passed without giving valid reasons for rejection his application for grant of LPG distributorship and therefore, it is unsustainable in law.



3.The first respondent filed a counter affidavit stating that as per the guidelines, minimum deposit amount of Rs.2 lakhs has to be maintained by the applicant as on the date of application in his savings bank account/ fixed deposit account/NSC/KVP etc., whereas as on the date of application on 30.01.2012, the amount in the petitioner's account was only Rs.5053.84. Therefore, the petitioner's application has been rejected on the above ground.

4.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is also not in a position to dispute the same.

5.In view of the above, I find no irregularity or infirmity in the order passed by the respondent. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, M.P(MD)No.2 of 2013 is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl.Side)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

sms

To,

The Senior Regional Manager,
Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.,
Chennai LPG Regional Office,
Petro Bhavan, 3rd Floor,
New No.82, Old No.47,
TTK Road, Alwarpet,
Chennai 600 016.

+1CC to Mr.M.Sirdhar, Advocate Sr.No.61827

+1CC to Mr.K.Balasundharam, Advocate Sr.No.62195

GJM/SKS/RR/8.11.16-2p-4C

Writ Petition (MD) No.2973 of 2013
and

M.P (MD) No.2 of 2013
19.10.2016