



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.09.2016

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

WEB COPY

Contempt Petition (MD) Nos.1058 to 1061 of 2016

S.Srinivasan ... Petitioner in Cont.P(MD)No.1058 of 2016
S.Balasubramanian ... Petitioner in Cont.P(MD)No.1059 of 2016
S.Ramathilagam ... Petitioner in Cont.P(MD)No.1060 of 2016
B.Lakshmi Devi ... Petitioner in Cont.P(MD)No.1061 of 2016

Vs.

Padma Rajamani
The Chief Manager,
State Bank of India,
Woraiyur Branch,
No.107A, Salai Road,
Woraiyur, Thiruchirappalli 620 003. ...Contemnor/ Respondent

Petitions filed Under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the contemnor for having committed contempt of Court by disobeying the common order dated 12.01.2016 made in W.M.P(MD)Nos.586 to 589 of 2016 W.P.(MD)Nos.760 to 763 of 2016 .

Prayer in WMP(MD)Nos. 586 to 589 2016 :

Pleased to grant an order of injunction restraining the respondent from publishing the photographs of the petitioner in any newspaper or magazine or journal as stated in the impugned notice dated 30.12.2015 pending disposal of the above writ petition

Prayer in WP(MD)Nos. 760 to 763 2016 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court Pleased to issue a Writ or Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned notice dated 30.12.2015 issued by respondent and Quash the same and consequently forbearing the respondent from publishing the photographs of the petitioner in any newspapers or magazine or journal whatsoever as stated in impugned notice dated 30.12.2015

For Petitioners

: Mr.A.Vadamalaikannan for
M/s.Vast Law Associates.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
(In all Cont. Ps.)

: Mr.S.Sethuraman

**COMMON ORDER**

These contempt petitions are filed by the petitioners for the alleged disobedience of the order passed by this Court in W.P. (MD) Nos. 760 to 763 of 2016 and W.M.P (MD) Nos. 586 to 589 of 2016, dated 12.01.2016. By the aforesaid order, this Court has granted interim injunction restraining the bank from publishing the photographs of the petitioners in the newspapers or magazine or journal as stated in the impugned order dated 30.12.2015.

2. It is the grievance of the petitioners that though interim order of injunction has been granted, the respondent bank put up flex board inside the bank premises exhibiting their photographs along with some objectionable wordings.

3. Perusal of the interim order shows that the order has been passed only to restraining the respondent bank from publishing the photographs of the petitioners in the newspapers or magazine or journal as stated in the impugned order dated 30.12.2015 but not restraining the respondent from putting up any flex board.

4. Therefore, it is unnecessary to pursue contempt against the respondent. Hence, the contempt petitions are dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

sms

To

Padma Rajamani
The Chief Manager,
State Bank of India,
Woraiyur Branch,
No.107A, Salai Road,
Woraiyur, Thiruchirappalli 620 003.

+4cc to M/s.Vas Law Associates, Advocate Sr.No. 51893

+1cc to Mr.S.sethuraman, Advocate Sr.No. 51679

JAM/20.10.16/EM-MPA 2p-7c

Contempt Petition (MD) Nos.1058 to 1061 of 2016
09.09.2016