



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 03.06.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE S.VIMALA

W.P(MD) No.9796 of 2016

K.Soundararajan

... Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Vasudevanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the rejection order of the 2nd respondent on 10.05.2016 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to grant permission and protection for cultural program in connection with "Pongal Thiruvizha" scheduled to be held on 08.06.2016 at Arulmighu Sri Pillaiyar, Sri Mariamman Thirukovil at Ramaiah Street, Vasudevanallur, Tirunelveli District.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Karthic Rajan

For Respondents : Mr.D.Muruganandam,
Addl. Govt. Pleader

O R D E R

The writ petition has been filed to quash the impugned order passed by the second respondent dated 10.05.2016 with a consequential direction to the respondents to grant permission and necessary protection to perform the cultural programme in connection with "Pongal Thiruvizha" scheduled to be held on 08.06.2016 at Arulmighu Sri Pillaiyar, Sri Mariamman Thirukovil at Ramaiah Street, Vasudevanallur, Tirunelveli District.

2. Mr.D.Muruganandam, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondents. By consent, the writ petition



itself is taken up for final disposal.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the programme is being conducted by the villagers regularly in order to promote harmony during the above said festival period. He would further submit that the representation given to the second respondent was rejected vide the impugned order dated 10.05.2016.

5. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that considering the law and problem prevalent in the area, the permission for conducting the programme was rejected.

6. However, the reasons alleged may not exist as of now and as the situation is liable to change, the petitioner is permitted to make a fresh representation.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the second respondent in person on 07.06.2016 and on receipt of such representation, the second respondent is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders on merits and as per law immediately, as the function is stated to be scheduled on 08.06.2016.

8. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(P&A)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To:

1. The Superintendent of Police, Tirunelveli District.
 2. The Inspector of Police, Vasudevanallur Police Station, Tirunelveli District.
 3. The Additional Government Pleader,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.
- +1 cc to M/s.R.Karthic Rajan, Advocate in SR.No.27866
+1 cc to The Special Government Pleader in SR.No. 28006

gcg

CSL/GSV-PM/SAR-I/06.06.2016 : 2P/6C