



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.06.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE S.VIMALA

W.P(MD) No.9698 of 2016

WEB COPY

B.Manoj

... Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

2. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Vedasanthur Police Station,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the rejection order of the 2nd respondent dated 27.05.2016 and quash the same and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to grant permission and protection to perform cultural Adalpadal programme scheduled to be held on 09.06.2016 "Kovil Festival" at Sri Kaliyamman Sri Bhagavathiamman, Sri Karupppasamy, Poothampatti, Sri Ramapuram Village, Vedasanthur Taluk Dindigul District.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Vinoth Balan
For Respondents : Mr.D.Muruganandam,
Addl. Govt. Pleader

O R D E R

The writ petition has been filed to quash the impugned order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 27.05.2016 with the consequential direction to the 2nd respondent to grant permission and protection to perform cultural Adalpadal programme scheduled to be held on 09.06.2016 "Kovil Festival" at Sri Kaliyamman Sri Bhagavathiamman, Sri Karupppasamy, Poothampatti, Sri Ramapuram Village, Vedasanthur Taluk Dindigul District.

2. Mr.D.Muruganandam, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondents. By consent, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the programme is being conducted by the villagers regularly in order to promote harmony during the above said festival. He would further submit that the representation dated 27.05.2016 given to the second respondent was rejected without assigning any reason.



5. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that considering the law and problem prevalent in the area, the permission for conducting programme was rejected.

6. However, the reasons alleged do not form part of the order and as the law and order problem may not exist in the area as of now, the petitioner is permitted to make a fresh representation.

7. The petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the second respondent in person on 06.06.2016 and on receipt of such representation, the 2nd respondent is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders on merits and as per law on or before 08.06.2016.

With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai-23.

To:

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
2. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Vedasanthur Police Station,
Dindigul District.
3. The Additional Government Pleader,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to M/s.B.Vinoth Balan, Advocate in SR.27419

+1cc to the Special Government Pleader, in SR.27556

W.P(MD) No.9698 of 2016
02.06.2016

ar.

PBK/GSV-PM/SAR-II 03/06/2016 ::2P-6C:: (IT)