



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.06.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE S.VIMALA

W.P(MD) No.9678 of 2016

WEB COPY

S.P.M.Manickam

... Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District, Virudhunagar.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Srivilliputtur, Virudhunagar District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Watrap Police Station,
Watrap, Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the Impugned Proceedings dated 27.05.2016 of the 3rd respondent and to quash the same and consequently directing the 3rd respondent to grant permission and necessary protection to perform the cultural programme i.e. Dance Programme (Adal Padal) on 09.06.2016 at 07.00 p.m. in the eve of Arulmighu Kalliamman Thirukovil Pongal Thiruvizha at Watrap Srivilliputhur Taluk Virudhunagar District.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Marimuthu
For Respondents : Mr.D.Muruganandam,
Addl. Govt. Pleader

O R D E R

The writ petition has been filed to quash the impugned order passed by the 3rd respondent dated 27.05.2016 with the consequential direction to the 3rd respondent to grant permission and necessary protection to perform the cultural programme i.e. Dance Programme (Adal Padal) on 09.06.2016 at 07.00 p.m. in the eve of Arulmighu Kalliamman Thirukovil Pongal Thiruvizha at Watrap Srivilliputhur Taluk Virudhunagar District

2. Mr.D.Muruganandam, learned Additional Government Pleader, takes notice for the respondents. By consent, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents.



4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the programme is being conducted by the villagers regularly in order to promote harmony during the above said festival period. He would further submit that the representation given to the 3rd respondent was rejected without assigning any reason.

5. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent would submit that considering the law and problem prevalent in the area, the permission for conducting programme was rejected.

6. However, the reasons alleged do not form part of the order and as the law and order problem may not exist in the area as of now, the petitioner is permitted to make a fresh representation.

7. The petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the third respondent in person on 06.06.2016 and on receipt of such representation, the 3rd respondent is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders on merits and as per law on or before 08.06.2016, as the programme is scheduled to be held on 09.06.2016.

With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/TRUE COPY/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To:

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District, Virudhunagar.
 2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Srivilliputtur, Virudhunagar District.
 3. The Inspector of Police,
Watrap Police Station,
Watrap, Virudhunagar District.
 4. The Additional Government Pleader,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.
- +1cc to Special Government Pleader in SR.No.27562
+1cc to M/S.G.Marimuthu, Advocate in SR.No.27436

W.P(MD) No.9678 of 2016
02.06.2016