



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.09.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.768 of 2016

K.Ravichandran

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Commissioner,
Pudukkottai Municipality,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus forbearing the respondent from constructing weigh bridge in Town Survey No.3594, New Palace Road, Pudhukottai.

For Petitioner

: Mr.T.Antony Arul Raj

For Respondent

: Mr.P.Mahendran

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed forbearing the respondent/Commissioner, Pudukkottai Municipality, from constructing weigh bridge in Town Survey No.3594, New Palace Road, Pudhukottai.

2.According to the petitioner, the respondent Municipality leased out a small extent of land measuring an extent of 120 x 100 ft in Town Survey No.3594, New Palace Road, Pudukkottai to the petitioner on 06.07.2006 and periodically, the lease has been extended and the lease is still valid till 2018. In the meantime, the respondent Municipality is taking steps to construct a weigh bridge in the above said survey number. Hence, this writ petition.

3.Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent Municipality submitted that the Municipality is not proposing to construct a weigh bridge in the property leased out to the petitioner and they are proposing to construct the weigh bridge in some other area in the same survey number. Hence, the apprehension of the petitioner is not genuine.

4.In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondent that they are not going to construct a weigh bridge in the area leased out to the petitioner, there is no further orders necessary in this writ petition. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-II)

/True copy/



To

The Commissioner,
Pudukkottai Municipality,
Pudukkottai District.

+1cc to Mr.T.Antony Arul Raj, Advocate in SR.55433

+1cc to Mr.P.Mahendran, Standing Counsel in SR.54942

W.P (MD) No.768 of 2016
22.09.2016

vs

PBK/SS-3/AR-I 26.09.2016 ::2P-4C: