



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 07.11.2016
CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.7141 of 2016

and

WMP (MD) No.9173 of 2016

N. Krishnamoorthy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Managing Director,
TamilNadu Arasu Cable T.V. corporation Ltd.,
Chennai.

2. The District Assistant Manager,
Tamil Nadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation,
Thoothukudi District.

3. The Post Master,
Kovilpatti Head Office,
Kovilpatti,
Thoothukudi District.

4. The Special Thasildar,
Tamilnadu Arasu Cable T.V. Corporation,
Thoothukudi District.

5.M.Paramasivam

(5th respondent impleaded as per the order of this Court
made in W.M.P.(MD).No.9173 of 2016 in

W.P.(MD).No.7141/2016, dated 07.11.2016). ... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents no.1 to grant the license as LCO (Local Cable Operator) based on the petitioner's representation dated 04.04.2016 and pass such further or other order as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

For Petitioner
For Respondents

: Ms.R. Ganesh Prabu
: Mr.Abdul Saleem for
Mr.S.Satheesh Kumar
for R-1 & 2 & 4
Mr.R.Murugappan for R-3
Mr.S.R.Anbarasu for R-5

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking a direction, directing the respondent No.1 to grant the license as LCO (Local Cable Operator) based on the petitioner's representation, dated 04.04.2016 within the time frame fixed by this court.

2. According to the petitioner, he is a LCO (Local Cable TV Operator) in S.S.Nagar, Kovilpatti, under the Arasu Cable TV Corporation. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has given a representation to the 1, 2 and 4 respondents dated 04.04.2016, with regard to granting of licence. Sofar, the said representation has not been considered by the 1,2 and 4 respondents. Hence, he has filed the present writ petition.

3. Mr. Abdul Saleem, learned counsel appearing for the 1,2 and 4 respondents / Arasu Cable TV Corporation submitted that they are ready and willing to consider the petitioner's representation within a time limit prescribed by this Court.

4. In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, the first respondent is directed to consider the petitioner's representation dated 04.04.2016, after giving opportunity to the petitioner as well as any rival claimant, if any, and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Crl.side)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Managing Director,
TamilNadu Arasu Cable T.V. corporation Ltd.,
Chennai.

2. The District Assistant Manager,
Tamil Nadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation,
Thoothukudi District.



3. The Post Master,
Kovilpatti Head Office,
Kovilpatti,
Thoothukudi District.

4. The Special Thasildar,
Tamilnadu Arasu Cable T.V. Corporation,
Thoothukudi District.

+1cc to Mr.R.Ganesh prabu, Advocate Sr.No.66739

+1cc to Mr.R.Murugappan, Advocate Sr.No.66692

W.P (MD) No.7141 of 2016
07.11.2016

vs

rum-pv/23.12.2016/3p/7c