



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.03.2016

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA

Writ Petition (MD) No.5502 of 2016

S.Alagammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director of Elementary Education Officer,
College Road, Nungampakkam,
Chennai 600 034.

2.The Chief Education Officer,
Trichy District,
District Education Office,
Near Syed Murthuza School,
Trichy.

3.The District Elementary Education Officer,
District Education Office,
Trichy.

4.The Assistant Elementary Educational Officer,
District Education Office,
Trichy.

... Respondents

Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the petitioners application dated 24.10.2015 submitted to the 3rd respondent and to grant the recognition for the school for the period from 09.06.2014 to 31.05.2017.


For Petitioner	: M/s.T.Banumathy
For Respondents	: Mr.T.S.Mohammed Mohideen, Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

The petitioner has come up with the above writ petition seeking for the issue of a writ of mandamus, to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's application dated 24.10.2015 submitted to the third respondent and to grant recognition for the school for the period from 09.06.2014 to 31.05.2017.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr.T.S.Mohamed Mohideen, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the respondents.

3.The petitioner is the correspondent of a school who has applied for recognition and approval from the educational authorities. According to the petitioner, earlier an application was sent on 02.07.2014 which was returned by the third respondent on 05.09.2014 for want of certain compliances. After rectifying the defects pointed out, it was again re-presented and the respondents 2 to 4 inspected the school premises and submitted inspection report on 26.06.2015. After the report



has been filed, no action has been taken by the respondents. While so, the third respondent returned the application along with a letter on 13.10.2015 pointing out the eight defects to be complied with. According to the petitioner, the said defects pointed out by the third respondent have been complied with and resubmitted the same on 24.10.2015. However, there was no response. Hence, the petitioner has come up with the above writ petition.

4.Considering the above stated facts and circumstances and without expressing any view on the claim made by the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner dated 24.10.2015 and pass orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Director of Elementary Education Officer,
College Road, Nungampakkam,
Chennai 600 034.

2.The Chief Education Officer,
Trichy District, District Education Office,
Near Syed Murthuza School,
Trichy.

3.The District Elementary Education Officer,
District Education Office, Trichy.

4.The Assistant Elementary Educational Officer,
District Education Office, Trichy.

+1 CC to M/s.T.BANUMATHY, Advocate, SR No.15470

+1 CC to THE SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER , SR No.15735

Writ Petition (MD) No.5502 of 2016
18.03.2016

sms

SH/SK-SKN:31.03.2016:2P/7C