



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:18.11.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition(MD)No.22130 of 2016

and

W.M.P(MD)No.15847 of 2016

Nallasiriar Arima Nammalvar Soliammal

Matriculation School,

Rep. By its Correspondent,

Mr.N.Nammalvar, Thirumangalam,

Madurai District.

..Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director of Matriculation,

O/o.the Directorate of Matriculation,

College Road, Nungambakkam,

Chennai -6.

2.The Chief Educational Officer,

O/o.the Chief Educational Office,

Madurai, Madurai District.

3.Inspector of Matriculation Schools,

O/o.the Inspector of Matriculation School Office,

Thallakulam, Madurai District.

..Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 3rd respondent to consider the revised proposal, dated 06.05.2016 submitted by the petitioner's School, within a stipulated time.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ajmalkhan, Senior Counsel for

M/s.Ajmal Associates

For Respondents : Mr.R.Anandharaj,

Government Advocate

ORDER

Heard the learned senior counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the respondents.

2. By consent, the Writ Petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

3. According to the petitioner, they are running a High School and already they got approval upto VII standard. Now, for approval of VIII to X Standard, they sent proposal for recognition but the same was rejected on the ground of certain deficiencies.



4. The learned senior counsel for the petitioner would submit that they have rectified the deficiencies and re-submitted the proposal on 06.05.2016. But, till date no order has been passed by the third respondent. He would further submit that the petitioner-school already admitted four students in all three classes and now the Authorities passed an order directing them to deploy the students to some other school. Hence, the learned senior counsel for the petitioner submitted that till the orders passed by the third respondent, the order of deployment should be kept in abeyance.

5. Considering the fact that the petitioner's revised proposal is pending with the third respondent from 06.05.2016, the third respondent is directed to consider the said proposal and pass orders on the same, within a period of two weeks from today, till such time, the order of deployment should be kept in abeyance.

With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No Costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AS)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

1.The Director of Matriculation,
O/o.the Directorate of Matriculation,
College Road, Nungambakkam, Chennai -6.

2.The Chief Educational Officer,
O/o.the Chief Educational Office,
Madurai, Madurai District.

3.Inspector of Matriculation Schools,
O.o.the Inspector of Matriculation School Office,
Thallakulam, Madurai District.

+1cc to Mr.Ajmal Associates, in SR.70480

W.P(MD)No.22130 of 2016
18.11.2016

pm

PBK/SKN/SAR-III 18.11.2016 ::2P-5C:(IT)