



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 16.11.2016

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE V. BHARATHIDASAN**

W.P (MD) .No.21908 of 2016

Singaravedivelu

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Commissioner,
Tamil Nadu Information Commission,
No.2, Thiyagaraya Salai (Nearby Alaiamman Kovil)
Teynampet,
Chennai-18.

2.The Block Development Officer,
Avudaiyarkovil Union,
Avudaiyarkovil,
Pudukkottai District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to comply with the order of the 1st respondent vide his proceedings in Order No.S.A.No.1167/B/2016, dated 21.04.2016 and provide appropriate information to the petitioner within a time frame fixed by this court and consequently direct the 1st respondent to take appropriate action against the 2nd respondent under Section 20(1) and (2) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

For petitioner : Mr.T.Vadivelan
For Respondents : Mr.K.K.Senthil for R-1
Mr.R.Anandharaj
Government Advocate for R-2

ORDER

The writ petition has been filed for a direction, directing the 2nd respondent to comply with the order of the 1st respondent, dated 21.04.2016 and provide appropriate information to the petitioner within a time frame fixed by this court and consequently direct the 1st respondent to take appropriate action against the 2nd respondent under Section 20(1) and (2) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

2. According to the petitioner, on 26.10.2015, the petitioner approached the second respondent/Block Development Officer, Aavudaiyarkovil Union, Pudukkottai District under the



Right to Information Act and sought an information. Since the application was not considered in time, he approached the first respondent and the first respondent, in turn, by an order dated 21.04.2016, directed the second respondent to give appropriate information to the petitioner within 15 days. In spite of the same, the second respondent did not give information. Hence, he has filed the present writ petition, seeking a direction to the second respondent to comply with the direction already given by the first respondent and provide appropriate information to the petitioner.

3. It is unfortunate that the petitioner's application is pending with the second respondent from 26.10.2015 and despite the order passed by the first respondent dated 21.04.2016, so far, no order has been passed in the application. The act of the second respondent is highly deprecated.

4. Considering the fact that the petitioner's application is pending with the second respondent from 26.10.2015 onwards, the second respondent is directed to consider the petitioner's application, on the basis of the direction already issued by the first respondent dated 21.04.2016 and pass suitable orders, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Deputy Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

VS

To

1. The Commissioner,
Tamil Nadu Information Commission,
No.2, Thiyagaraya Salai (Nearby Alaiamman Kovil)
Teynampet, Chennai-18.

2. The Block Development Officer,
Avudaiyarkovil Union,
Avudaiyarkovil, Pudukkottai District.

+1cc to Mr.T.Vadivelan Advocate Sr.No. 70078
+1cc to Mr.K.K.Senthil Advocate Sr.No. 69671
+1cc to Spl.Government Pleader Sr.No. 69751

JAM/27.12.16/RR/ 2p-6c