



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 03.11.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

WEB COPY

W.P (MD) No.21049 of 2016

and

W.M.P. (MD) No.15048 of 2016

V.Muhammed Rafeeq

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District, Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Puliyangudi Police Station,
Puliyangudi, Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings in Na.Ka.No.17/P1PS/2016 issued by the second Respondent dated 30.10.2016 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the second Respondent to permit the seminar on 06.11.2016 from 5.00 PM to 10.00 PM at Abdul Kalam Nagar, Northern Side of New Crescent School Puliyangudi, Tirunelveli District, based on the petitioner's representation dated 21.10.2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Muthalraj

For Respondents : Mr.K.P.Krishna Doss
Government Advocate

O R D E R

This Writ Petition is filed for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned proceedings in Na.Ka.No.17/P1PS/2016 issued by the second Respondent dated 30.10.2016 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the second Respondent to permit the seminar on 06.11.2016 from 5.00 PM to 10.00 PM at Abdul Kalam Nagar, Northern Side of New Crescent School Puliyangudi, Tirunelveli District, based on the petitioner's representation dated 21.10.2016.

2. Though the writ petition has been filed to quash the order of the second respondent dated 30.10.2016, the learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that subsequent to the impugned order, <https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/> has submitted a representation dated 31.10.2016 and it would be suffice, if a direction is issued to the second



respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 31.10.2016.

3. Heard the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

4. Considering the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case, the second respondent is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 31.10.2016 on merits and in accordance with law and pass orders on the same as expeditiously as possible.

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli District, Tirunelveli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Puliyangudi Police Station,
Puliyangudi, Tirunelveli District.

+1 CC to Mr.NA.PALANIYANDI, Advocate, SR No.65486

+1 CC to THE SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SR No.65280

W.P(MD)No.21049 of 2016
03.11.2016

ssl

SH/MPA/SAR-1:04.11.2016:2P/5C