



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.10.2016

WEB COPY

CORAM:
THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE **S.VIMALA**

W.P (MD) No.19710 of 2016

T.Thiruselvan : Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Joint Director of School Education (Personnel),
Directorate of School Education,
DPI Complex, College Road,
Chennai - 06.

2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar. : Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to furnish the documents requested by the petitioner, in his representation, dated 10.09.2016 and thereafter complete and conclude the disciplinary proceedings initiated under the charge memo dated 08.05.2015 of the first respondent within a reasonable time period to be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Dilipukumar

For Respondents : Mr.M.Murugan,
Government Advocate.

O R D E R

The petitioner has filed this writ petition praying for a direction to the respondents to furnish the documents mentioned in Annexure III of the charge memo, dated 08.05.2015. The documents, which are sought for, find a place in the representation, dated 10.09.2016, which reads as under:



petitioner has promptly submitted his reply. In the reply also, the petitioner has sought for the copies of documents, which are mentioned in Annexure III to the charge memo. The petitioner has also made a representation to the second respondent on 10.09.2016, seeking to furnish the copies of documents. Since, they have not been furnished to the petitioner, he has come up with this writ petition.

3.Mr.M.Murugan, learned Government Advocate, takes notice for the respondents. By consent, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the only legitimate prayer of the petitioner is that he must be supplied with copies of documents that he has consistently asked for and that the enquiry must be completed within a reasonable period of time, as the chances of promotion is getting affected.

5.The learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submitted that the respondents would be prompt enough to consider the representation and also to complete the enquiry within a reasonable period of time.

6.Heard the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents.

7.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the nature of the charge alleged against the petitioner herein i.e., non-furnishing of information regarding his arrest, the respondents are directed to furnish the copies of documents mentioned in Annexure III of the charge memo dated 08.05.2015, which are sought for by the petitioner in his representation, dated 10.09.2016, within a period of one week, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and to complete the enquiry within a period of six weeks, thereafter.

8.With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AE)

/True Copy/



To

1. The Joint Director of School Education (Personnel),
Directorate of School Education,
DPI Complex, College Road,
Chennai - 06.

2. The Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

+1 cc to MR.N.DILIPKUMAR, Advocate SR.No.60987

ORDER MADE IN
W.P (MD) No.19710 of 2016
17.10.2016

SMA/CK/4.11.2016:4P/4C