



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED :19.10.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Dr.JUSTICE S.VIMALA
W.P.(MD)No.18660 of 2016

WEB COPY

S.Chithambaram

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd.,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Madurai.

2.The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation Pension Fund Trust
Thiruvalluvar House,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Declaration declaring the action of the respondents in resolving to pay the commuted value of pension payable to the petitioner in 12 equal monthly installments from March 2016 without interest for the belated payment of the same as illegal arbitrary and violative of Article 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently directing the Respondents to settle commuted value of pension of the petitioner in one lump sum with interest at the rate of 18% per annum payable from the date of petitioner's retirement to till the date of payment of the said amount within the time that may be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.

For Petitioner	: Mr.A.Rahul
For R1	: Mr.D.Sivaraman
For R2	: Mr.A.P.Muthupandian

O R D E R

'A retired Government official is sensitive to delay in drawing monetary benefits. And to avoid posthumous satisfaction of the pecuniary expectation of the superannuated public servant not unusual in Government', it has become necessary to issue directions, in several cases for early payment of those dues.---Krishna Iyer, J. in the case of *State of Mysore v. C.R. Sheshadri and Ors.* reported in 1974-I-LLJ-301 (SC).

2.The petitioner claiming himself to have suffered financially as well as psychologically on account of belated payment of retirement benefits, has filed this writ petition claiming interest, in respect of those belated payments. The grievance of the



petitioner is that commuted value of pension is not paid on the date of retirement and the same is paid by way of twenty four equal monthly installments. But no interest is paid for the belated payment of Commuted Value of Pension.

3. This writ petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondents to pay interest at the rate of 18% p.a. for the belated payment of commuted value of pension, within the time limit that may be fixed by this Court.

4. Facts:

The petitioner was served as employee in the respondents corporation and he retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation and the petitioner claims 18% interest p.a. for the belated payment of the petitioner's retirement dues.

5. The contention of the petitioner is that the retirement benefits ought to have been paid on the date of retirement or atleast, reasonably within a short period immediately after retirement. It is pointed out that as the payment was not made so, but made belatedly, the respondents are liable to pay interest.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner relied upon the following provisions of law and the reported decisions and contended that if the payment is not duly made in accordance with the time prescribed, under the Law, then the petitioner is entitled to claim interest.

7. It is appropriate to consider those provisions and decisions. Pointing out that it is imperative for the respondents

(a) to keep in mind the time schedule prescribed in the rules/instructions;

(b) to initiate various steps towards grant of retirement benefits well in advance prior to the date of retirement;

(c) to realise that there is liability even to pay compound interest and

(d) to pay interest even in the absence express provisions, the following decisions are relied upon:-

(i) In the case of **Government of Tamil Nadu vs. M. Deivasigamani**, reported in **2009 (3) MLJ 1**, this Court has held as follows:-

"The contention of the appellant that as per the Government norms, interest can be paid only on Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity, in case of delay and the same cannot be awarded to any other retiral benefits, **is not tenable**, in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in S.K. Dua v. State of Haryana reported in 2008 (3) SCC 44. "

(ii) In the decision in **Uma Agrawal vs State Of U.P. And Anr.** reported in **1999 (3) SCC 438**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as under:-

"We have referred in sufficient detail to the Rules and instructions which prescribe the time-schedule for the various steps to be taken in regard to the payment of pension and other retiral benefits. This we have done to remind the various Governmental Departments of their duties in initiating various steps at least two years in advance of the date of retirement. If the Rules/instructions are followed strictly much of the litigation can be avoided and retired Government servants will not feel harassed

family p
is indee

"2. The appellant retired from service on 31st August, 1997. From the response, filed by the respondent, it is clear that most of the payments of the retiral benefits to her were made long after she retired on 31st August, 1997. The details of the payments so made are as under:

S.No.	Particulars	Amount Paid	Date
(i)	GPF 90%	Rs. 1,80,899.00	27.11.1997
(ii)	GPF 10%	Rs. 20,751.00	25.04.1998
(iii)	GIS	Rs. 13,379.00	27.02.1998
(iv)	Enchashment of leave	Rs. 41,358.00	27.09.1998
(v)	Arrears of pay	Rs. 15,495.00	27.09.1998
(vi)	Gratuity	Rs. 1,09,753.00	05.12.1998
(vii)	Commuted pension	Rs. 20,484.00	05.12.1998
(viii)	Detained amount	Rs. 45,000.00	05.11.1999

(i) In *S.K. Dua vs State Of Haryana & Anr* reported in 2008 (3) SCC 44, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held as follows:-

<https://hcservices.edquits.gov/indexservices/sh>

9. The learned counsel for the petitioner would also submit that the interest can be awarded either at 18% or at 9% and as per the orders in the Writ Appeal (MD)Nos.383 to 457 of 2015, dated 12.06.2015 and that this Court while ordering the retirement dues, has ordered payment by installment along with interest at 6% p.a. and this Court also has to maintain the consistency.

10. Following the Division Bench Judgment of this Court, the Courts are consistently passing orders for payment of retirement dues with interest at 6% p.a. with default interest of 18% p.a.

11. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to pay the interest due (at rate of 6% p.a.) on the retirement dues already paid, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, failing which, the default interest would be 18% p.a.

12. This writ Petition is ordered accordingly. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Writs)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd.,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Madurai.

2. The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation Pension Fund Trust
Thiruvalluvar House,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.

nbg

MAS/MR-VB:03.02.2017:4P/3C

W.P. (MD) No.18660 of 2016
19.10.2016