



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 02.09.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA
W.P(MD)No.16094 of 2016

WEB COPY

S.Ramasamy

... Petitioner

vs.

1)The Management of
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation(Kumbakonam) Ltd.,
Trichy Region,
Rep. by its General Manager,
Trichy.

2)The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Anna Salai,
Chennai-2.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to pay the petitioner Rs.3,93,525/- towards Gratuity, Rs.50,000/- towards Social Security Scheme benefit, Rs.5,000/- towards Refundable Deposits, Rs.24,804/- towards Earned Leave Salary, Wages for the Sick Leaves earned by him in his service before 31.08.98 and stood in his leave credit and also arrears of wages for the period from 01.09.13 to 31.03.15 payable pursuant to new wage settlement dated 13.04.15 together with 18% interest per annum, along with interest amount towards the delay in paying EPF Employee's Contribution amount Rs.2,81,089/- for the period from 30.06.16 to 21.06.17 at the rate as per EPF Scheme and further directing the respondents to pay him Rs.1,45,655/- towards the Pension Commutation, together with 18% interest per annum, within a time frame as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Arunachalam
For R1	: Mr.D.Sivaraman
For R2	: Mr.A.P.Muthupandian

ORDER

The petitioner is a retired employee in the respondent corporation. Seeking disbursement of the retirement benefits, this writ petition has been filed.

2.When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the transport corporation submitted that the issue is covered by a judgment of a Division Bench of this Court



in W.A(MD).Nos.383 to 457 of 2015 dated 12.06.2015. He has further submitted that the respondents would consider the request of the petitioner in the light of the judgment passed by the Honourable Division Bench.

3.For ready reference, the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in W.A.(MD)Nos.383 to 457 of 2015 dated 12.06.2015 is extracted hereunder:

"The learned Additional Advocate General submits that he has obtained written instructions vide letter No.7945/E/2015-2 dated 11.06.2015 that the terminal benefits of the appellants would be settled through twelve equal monthly installments, carrying interest of 6% p.a.

2.The said statement is thus taken on record and the respondents will be bound by the same, we have to keep in mind the judicial pronouncement of the Honourable Supreme Court in D.D.TEWARI (D) THR. LRS. Vs. UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD & ORS {2014 (9) SCALE - 78}, wherein it is held that in case of any delay in making the payment of the installments, the interest payable would become 18% p.a., for the delayed period apart from any other remedy which may be available to the appellants for non-compliance of undertaking given to this Court.

3.The installments to be paid from July 2015 and each installment should be paid on or before 7th of each month"

4.Following the judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court referred to above, the respondents are directed to pay the retirement benefits due and payable to the petitioner in 12 equal monthly installments commencing from 10th October, 2016. Further, if there are settlements or awards, the Corporation is obliged to take the same into account while arriving at the figure. It is needless to mention that the entire arrears shall carry interest at the rate of 6% per annum. In case of delay in making installments, the interest payable could be 18% for the delayed period.

5.The aforesaid direction to settle the terminal benefits would not preclude the workman to question the computation of any of the terminal benefits, if the same is paid lesser than the amount to which, he is entitled to receive. Likewise, if the petitioner has any grievance that he is entitled to interest for the amount already settled, he can agitate the same as per law, if he is entitled to.

6.Learned Counsel for the respondents/Transport Corporation says that against certain employees, there are recoveries from



them. So, the above payment may be made subject to recoveries, if any, from the employees. The petitioner is also agreeable for the same.

With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+1cc to M/s.S.Arunachalam, Advocate SR.No.50779

+1cc to M/s.D.Sivaraman, Advocate SR.No.50472

W.P(MD)No.16094 of 2016

02.09.2016

nbi

SD/PV/07.10.2016/3P/3C