



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.08.2016

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE T. RAJA**

W.P(MD) .No.15491 of 2016

R.Mayil Thai
W/o (Late) V.Rajendran

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Management of Tamil Nadu State Transport
Corporation (Madurai) Ltd.
Dindigul Region,
Rep.by its General Manager,
Dindigul.

2.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Employees' Pension Fund Trust
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Anna Salai, Chennai-2.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to pay the petitioner the amounts payable as retirement benefits for the services of her husband/Deceased retired employee V.Rajendran, namely, Rs.5,53,625/- towards Gratuity, Rs.22,415/- towards Leave Salary and also arrears of wages for the period from 01.09.13 to 31.01.15 payable to him pursuant to new wage settlement dated 13.04.2015, together with 18% interest per annum and further directing the respondents to pay her Rs.2,33,450/- towards the pension Commutation and arrears of pension for the period from 01.02.2015 to 01.03.2016 by revision his pension, together with 18% interest per annum from 01.02.2015 to 01.03.2016 by revising his pension together with 18% interest per annum after calculating all his terminal/pension benefits based on the monthly wages payable to him on the month of his retirement i.e., January, 2015 by revising the same as per the settlement dated 13.04.2015, as order by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in its order dated 29.01.2016 in W.A(MD)NO.1457 of 2015 and etc., batch.

For petitioner : Mr.S.Arunachalam
For Respondents : Mr.A.Jeyaram for R1
Mr.A.P.Muthupandian for R2

**ORDER**

The petitioner's husband was an employee of the respondents Transport Corporation. Seeking his retirement benefits, she has filed the present Writ Petition.

WEB COPY

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that the issue is covered by a judgment of a Division Bench of this Court in W.A.(MD).Nos.383 to 457 of 2015, dated 12.06.2015. He has further submitted that the respondents would consider the request of the petitioner in the light of the judgment passed by the Honourable Division Bench.

3. For ready reference, the judgment, dated 12.06.2015, passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in W.A.(MD).Nos.383 to 457 of 2015, is extracted hereunder:

"The learned Additional Advocate General submits that he has obtained written instructions vide letter No.7945/E/2015-2 dated 11.06.2015 that the terminal benefits of the appellants would be settled through twelve equal monthly installments, carrying interest of 6% p.a.

2.The said statement is thus taken on record and the respondents will be bound by the same, we have to keep in mind the judicial pronouncement of the Honourable Supreme Court in D.D.TEWARI (D) THR. LRS. Vs. UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD & ORS {2014 (9) SCALE - 78}, wherein it is held that in case of any delay in making the payment of the installments, the interest payable would become 18% p.a., for the delayed period apart from any other remedy which may be available to the appellants for non-compliance of undertaking given to this Court.


3.The installments to be paid from July 2015 and each installment should be paid on or before 7th of each month"

4. Following the judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court referred to above, the respondents are directed to pay the amount due to the petitioner's husband in 12 equal monthly installments commencing from 1st October, 2016. Further, if there are settlements or awards, the Corporation is obliged to take the same into account while arriving at the figure. It is needless to mention that the entire arrears shall carry interest at the rate of 6% per annum. In case of delay in making installments, the interest

<https://hcservices.ecs.gov.in/hcservices/>

payable @ 18% for the delayed period.

5. The aforesaid direction to settle the terminal benefits



would not preclude the workman to question the computation of any of the terminal benefits, if the same is paid lesser than the amount to which, she is entitled to receive. Likewise, if the petitioner has any grievance that she is entitled to interest for the amount already settled, she can agitate the same as per law, if she is entitled to.

6. The learned counsel for the respondents/Transport Corporation says that against certain employees, there are recoveries pending. So, the above payment may be made subject to recoveries, if any, from the employees. The petitioner is also agreeable for the same.

7. With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

- 1.The Management of Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Ltd.
Dindigul Region,
Rep.by its Managing Director
Dindigul.
- 2.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Employees' Pension Fund Trust
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Anna Salai, Chennai-2.

SKN
TE/SKS-RR : 21/09/2016 : 3P/3C

W.P (MD) .No.15491 of 2016
23.08.2016