



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.08.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA**

W.P(MD) .No.14305 of 2016

V.Thangaraj

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Management of
Tamilnadu State Transport
Corporation (Thirunelveli) Ltd.
Thirunelveli Region
rep.by its Managing Director
Thirunelveli.

2.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Employees'
Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Anna Salai,
Chennai - 2.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus To issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to pay the petitioner Rs.5,18,487/- towards Gratuity, Rs.2,67,179/- towards E.P.F Employee's Contribution and Rs.2,25,929/- towards Leave Salary and also arrears of wages for the period from 01.09.2013 to 30.06.2014 payable pursuant new wage settlement, together with 18% interest per annum and further directing the respondents to pay him arrears of pension for the period from 01.07.2014 by revision his pension, together with 18% interest p.a. after calculating all his terminal/pension benefits based on the monthly wages payable to him on the month of his retirement i.e., June, 2014 by revising the same as per the settlement dated 13.04.2015, as ordered by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in its order dated 29.01.2016 in W.A(MD)No.1457/15 and etc., batch.

For petitioner : Mr.S.Arunachalam

For Respondent : Mr.K.Sathiyasingh
Standing Counsel

**ORDER**

The petitioner was an employee of the respondent Transport Corporation. Seeking his retirement benefits, he has filed the present Writ Petition.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent submitted that the issue is covered by a judgment of a Division Bench of this Court in W.A.(MD).Nos.383 to 457 of 2015, dated 12.06.2015. He has further submitted that the respondent would consider the request of the petitioner in the light of the judgment passed by the Honourable Division Bench.

3. For ready reference, the judgment, dated 12.06.2015, passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in W.A.(MD).Nos.383 to 457 of 2015, is extracted hereunder:


"The learned Additional Advocate General submits that he has obtained written instructions vide letter No.7945/E/2015-2 dated 11.06.2015 that the terminal benefits of the appellants would be settled through twelve equal monthly installments, carrying interest of 6% p.a.

2.The said statement is thus taken on record and the respondents will be bound by the same, we have to keep in mind the judicial pronouncement of the Honourable Supreme Court in D.D.TEWARI (D) THR. LRS. Vs. UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD & ORS {2014 (9) SCALE - 78}, wherein it is held that in case of any delay in making the payment of the installments, the interest payable would become 18% p.a., for the delayed period apart from any other remedy which may be available to the appellants for non-compliance of undertaking given to this Court.

3.The installments to be paid from July 2015 and each installment should be paid on or before 7th of each month"

4. Following the judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court referred to above, the respondent is directed to pay the amount due to the petitioner in 12 equal monthly installments commencing from 1st October, 2016. Further, if there are settlements or awards, the Corporation is obliged to take the same into account while arriving at the figure. It is needless to mention that the entire arrears shall carry interest at the rate of 6% per annum. In case of delay in making installments, the interest payable would be 18% for the delayed period.

5. The aforesaid direction to settle the terminal



benefits would not preclude the workman to question the computation of any of the terminal benefits, if the same is paid lesser than the amount to which, he is entitled to receive. Likewise, if the petitioner has any grievance that he is entitled to interest for the amount already settled, he can agitate the same as per law, if he is entitled to.

6. The learned counsel for the respondent/Transport Corporation says that against certain employees, there are recoveries pending. So, the above payment may be made subject to recoveries, if any, from the employees. The petitioner is also agreeable for the same.

7. With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(Writ)

/TRUE COPY/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+1cc to M/S.K.SATHIYA SINGH,ADVOCATE SR NO:42637

skn
JA-SKS-RR/17.08.2016/3P:2C

W.P(MD).No.14305 of 2016