



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 16.08.2016
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

WEB COPY

W.P(MD) .No.13624 of 2016

S.Rajangam

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Management of Tamilnadu
State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Ltd.
Kumbakonam Region
rep.by its General Manager
Kumbakonam

2.The Administrator
Tamil Nadu State Transport
Employees Pension Fund Trust
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Anna Salai, Chennai-2

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent to pay to the petitioner Rs.4,90,905/- towards gratuity and Rs.1,52,927/- towards leave salary and also arrears of wages for the period from 01.09.13 to 31.05.2015 payable pursuant new wage settlement, together with 18% interest per annum, along with interest amounts towards the delays in paying EPF Employees Contribution amount Rs.2,48,517/- for the period from 31.05.2015 to 06.02.2016 at the rate as per EPF Scheme and also in paying the Employees Social Security Scheme benefit amount Rs.50,000/- for the period from 31.05.2014 to 13.08.2015 at 18% p.a., and further directing the respondents to pay to the petitioner Rs.2,14,734/- towards the pension Commutation amount and arrears of pension for the period from 01.06.15 by revising pension and the college share amount of Rs.4500/- together with 18% interest per annum, after calculating all terminal/pension benefits based on the monthly wages payable to the petitioner on the month of retirement i.e., May, 2015 by revising the same as per the settlement dated 13.04.15 as ordered by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in its order, dated 29.01.2016 in W.A.(MD) No.1457/15 and etc. batch.

For petitioner : Mr.V.Anand

For Respondents : Mr.D.Sivaraman

Standing Counsel for R1

Mr.A.P.Muthupandian

Standing Counsel for R2



ORDER

The petitioner was an employee of the respondents Transport Corporation. Seeking his retirement benefits, he has filed the present Writ Petition.

WEB COPY

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that the issue is covered by a judgment of a Division Bench of this Court in W.A.(MD).Nos.383 to 457 of 2015, dated 12.06.2015. He has further submitted that the respondents would consider the request of the petitioner in the light of the judgment passed by the Honourable Division Bench.


3. For ready reference, the judgment, dated 12.06.2015, passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in W.A.(MD).Nos.383 to 457 of 2015, is extracted hereunder:

"The learned Additional Advocate General submits that he has obtained written instructions vide letter No.7945/E/2015-2 dated 11.06.2015 that the terminal benefits of the appellants would be settled through twelve equal monthly installments, carrying interest of 6% p.a.

2.The said statement is thus taken on record and the respondents will be bound by the same, we have to keep in mind the judicial pronouncement of the Honourable Supreme Court in D.D.TEWARI (D) THR. LRS. Vs. UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD & ORS {2014 (9) SCALE - 78}, wherein it is held that in case of any delay in making the payment of the installments, the interest payable would become 18% p.a., for the delayed period apart from any other remedy which may be available to the appellants for non-compliance of undertaking given to this Court.

3.The installments to be paid from July 2015 and each installment should be paid on or before 7th of each month".

4. Following the judgment of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court referred to above, the respondents are directed to pay the amount due to the petitioner in 12 equal monthly installments commencing from 1st October, 2016. Further, if there are settlements or awards, the Corporation is obliged to take the same into account while arriving at the figure. It is needless to mention that the entire arrears shall carry interest at the rate of 6% per annum. In case of delay in making installments, the interest payable would be 18% for the delayed period.



5. The aforesaid direction to settle the terminal benefits would not preclude the workman to question the computation of any of the terminal benefits, if the same is paid lesser than the amount to which, he is entitled to receive. Likewise, if the petitioner has any grievance that he is entitled to interest for the amount already settled, he can agitate the same as per law, if he is entitled to.

6. The learned counsel for the respondents/Transport Corporation says that against certain employees, there are recoveries pending. So, the above payment may be made subject to recoveries, if any, from the employees. The petitioner is also agreeable for the same.

7. With the above direction, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AS)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+1cc to M/s.V.Anand, Advocate Sr.No.45039

+1cc to Mr.D.Sivaraman, Advocate SR.No.44693

skn

sm:PV:08/09/2016:3P/3C

W.P (MD) .No.13624 of 2016

16.08.2016