



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.11.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.13042 of 2016

WEB COPY

L.T.Chidambaram

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

2. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Arasu Cable T.V.Corporation,
Chennai.

3. The Special Tahsildar/ Manager,
Tamilnadu Arasu Cable T.V. Corporation,
Madurai.

4. V. Velusamy

5. V.Murugesan

6. M. Pandiselvi

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1 to 3 respondents herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 08.12.2015 to conduct an enquiry for the suppression of points by the respondents 4 to 6 having LCO Numbers 11816, 11625 and 11730 in payment of subscription to the signals transmitted by the 2nd respondent

For Petitioner

: Mr.B. Prasanna Vinoth

For Respondents

: Mr. N.S. Karthigeyan

AGP for R1

Mr.Abdul Saleem for

Mr.S.Satheesh Kumar

for R2 & 3

Mr. G. Prabu Rajadurai

for R4 to 6

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking a direction, directing the 1 to 3 respondents herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 08.12.2015 to conduct an enquiry for the suppression of points by the respondents 4 to 6 having LCO Numbers 11816, 11625 and 11730 in payment of subscription to the signals transmitted by the 2nd respondent within the time frame fixed by this court.



2. According to the petitioner, he is a LCO (Local Cable TV Operator) in Lake Area, Madurai, under the Arasu Cable TV Corporation. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has given a representation to the 1 to 3 respondents dated 08.12.2015, with regard to fixing of monthly subscription payment based on the number of subscribers. So far, the said representation has not been considered by the respondents. Hence, he has filed the present writ petition.

3. Mr. Abdul Saleem, learned counsel appearing for the respondents/Arasu Cable TV Corporation submitted that they are ready and willing to consider the petitioner's representation within a time limit prescribed by this Court.

4. In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the 1 to 3 respondents, the respondents 1 to 3 are directed to consider the petitioner's representation dated 08.12.2015, after giving opportunity to the petitioner as well as any rival claimant, if any, and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar ()

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The District Collector,
Madurai District, Madurai.
 2. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Arasu Cable T.V. Corporation,
Chennai.
 3. The Special Tahsildar/ Manager,
Tamilnadu Arasu Cable T.V. Corporation,
Madurai.
- +1 cc to Mr. B. Prasanna Vinoth, Advocate, Sr.No:66913
+1 cc to Mr. G. Prabhu Rajadurai, Advocate, Sr.No:66821

W. P (MD) No. 13042 of 2016
07.11.2016



WEB COPY