

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT****DATED: 29.04.2016****CORAM:**

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.MANIKUMAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

W.A. (MD) No.854 of 2016
and
C.M.P. (MD) .No.5141 of 2016

- 1.The Government of Tamilnadu,
represented by the Secretary,
Department of School Education,
Fort St. George,
Chennai 600 009.
2. The Director of School Education,
College Road,
Chennai 600 006.
3. The Chief Educational Officer of
Kanyakumari District,
Nagercoil,
Kanyakumari District.
4. The District Educational Officer,
Kuzhithurai, Marthandam,
Kanyakumari District. ... Appellants

Vs.

1. P.M.Sheeja
2. St. Marys Hr. Secondary School,
Kaliyal, Kanyakumari District,
represented by its Correspondent. ... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Appeal is filed under Clause XV of Letter Patent against the interim order dated 22.12.2015 in M.P.(MD).No.3 of 2015 in W.P.(MD).No.23201 of 2015.

Prayer in MP(MD). 3/ 2015 in WP(MD).23201/2015 :

To direct respondents 1 to 4 to grant temporary approval for the petitioners appointment as B.T. Assistant in the 5th respondent school with effect from the forenoon of 2.6.2014 giving to her salary and all other benefits for her service pursuant to



her said appointment, pending disposal of the writ petition.

Prayer in WP(MD). 23201/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records of the 4th respondent pertaining to his order in O.Mu.No.3711/Aa2(E1)/2015 dated 26.8.2015 on his file, quash the same, directing him to approve the petitioners appointment as B.T. Assistant in the 5th respondent school with effect from the forenoon of 2.6.2014

For Appellants: Mr.VR.Shanmuganathan
Special Government Pleader

For R1 : Mr.K.N.Thambi

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of this Court was delivered by **S.MANIKUMAR, J.**)

In the present writ appeal, the challenge made is to the order passed by the writ Court, directing the appellants to grant temporary approval for appointment of the first respondent as B.T.Assistant (History) in the second respondent school and to pay salary to her from the date of her appointment, till the date of disposal of the writ petition.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the parties in the appeal, have consented that, they are agreeable that the order passed in W.A.(MD) No.220/2016 dated 05.02.2016, be passed in the present appeal also, details of which are given below. Thus, by consent, the present appeal is taken up and disposed of.

3. On an earlier occasion, a similar Writ Appeal (MD)No.220 of 2016, filed by the State, has been considered and disposed of on 05.02.2016 by a Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court, to which, one of us (**SMKJ**) is a party.

4. Mr.K.N.Thambi, learned counsel appearing for the first respondent/petitioner has consented for a similar order to be passed.

5. Inasmuch as the learned counsel for the first respondent/writ petitioner has consented for a similar order as made in W.A.(MD) No.220 of 2016, dated 05.02.2016, this Court deem it fit to extract the same and dispose of the Writ Appeal on the same lines:

"4.In a similar writ appeal filed by the State in the Principal Bench, a Division Bench of this Court has passed the following order in W.A.No.1299 of 2015, dated 14.09.2015.



'4.Be that as it may, the issue as to whether the provisions of the Act, will be applicable to a minority institution, is pending consideration in ***Aswinithanappan Vs. Director of Education and another - (2014) 8 SCC 272.***

WEB COPY

5.In view of the above, without going into the merits of the case as to whether a teacher appointed in a minority school is required to have TET qualification, as the same is the subject matter of the Writ petition, we are of the considered view that interest justice would subserve, if the appointment of the first respondent is protected, without giving any direction to the State Government to grant temporary approval.

6.Mr.D.Krishnakumar, learned Special Government Pleader (Education) appearing for the appellants fairly submits that the first respondent shall not be terminated during the pendency of the said writ petition being W.P.No.14072 of 2015.

7.Accordingly, we direct that the first respondent shall be given her salary in the course of her employment and no steps to remove her from service shall be taken till the disposal of the writ petition. However, it is made clear that this order is subject to the final outcome of the pending writ petition. It is further made clear that the first respondent shall not claim any equity on account of this order, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties, therein.

8.This intra-Court appeal is disposed of accordingly. No costs. Connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. "

6. By consent, Writ Appeal is disposed of on the same lines as ordered in W.A.(MD)No.220 of 2016 dated 05.02.2016. Having consented, appellants are directed to comply with the orders. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (W)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar



akv

To

1. The Secretary,
Department of School Education,
Fort St. George,
Chennai 600 009.

2. The Director of School Education,
College Road,
Chennai 600 006.

3. The Chief Educational Officer of
Kanyakumari District,
Nagercoil,
Kanyakumari District.

4. The District Educational Officer,
Kuzhithurai, Marthandam,
Kanyakumari District.

CSL/SKS/RR/7.9.16-4p-5c

W.A. (MD) No. 854 of 2016
29.04.2016