



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.04.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.MANIKUMAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

W.A. (MD) No.625 of 2016
and
CMP (MD) No.4198 of 2016

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
School Education (C2) Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai.

2.The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai.

3.The District Educational Officer,
O/o.District Educational Officer,
Madurai District.

4.The Assistant District Educational Officer,
O/o.District Educational Officer,
Madurai District.

.. Appellants/Respondents 1to4
Respondents 1to6

Vs.

1.Jeyarani

.. 1st Respondent/petition/
Writ pettion

2.The Correspondent,
Pasumalai Higher Secondary School,
Madurai.

.. 2nd Respondents/5th
Respondent/5th Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Appeal is filed under Clause XV of Letters of Patent,
against the common order dated 21.01.2016 made in W.M.P.(MD)
No.1109 of 2016 in W.P.(MD)No.1328 of 2016.

Prayer in WP(MD) . 1328/ 2016 :

Petition praying that in the Circumstances stated therein
and is the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be
pleased to grant an Ad-interim direction directions the 3rd
respondent to accord temporary provisional approval for



appointment of the petitioner as a B.T.Assistant (Maths) in the 5th respondent school, pending disposal of the above Writ petition.

WEB COPY

For Appellants : Mr.VR.Shanmuganathan
Special Government Pleader

For R1 : Mr.V.Balasubramanian

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of this Court was delivered by S.MANIKUMAR, J.)

In the present writ appeal, the challenge made is to the order passed by the writ Court, directing the appellants to grant temporary approval for appointment of the first respondent as B.T.Assistant (Maths) in the second respondent school and to pay salary to her from the date of her appointment, till the date of disposal of the writ petition.

2.Learned counsel appearing for the parties in the appeal, have consented that, they are agreeable that the order passed in W.A.(MD) No.220/2016 dated 05.02.2016, be passed in the present appeal also, details of which are given below. Thus, by consent, the present appeal is taken up and disposed of.

3.On an earlier occasion, a similar Writ Appeal (MD) No.220 of 2016, filed by the State, has been considered and disposed of on 05.02.2016 by a Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court, to which, one of us (**SMKJ**) is a party.

4.Mr.V.Balasubramanian, learned counsel appearing for the first respondent/petitioner has consented for a similar order to be passed.

5.In as much the above learned counsel for the first respondent/writ petitioner has consented for a similar order as made in W.A.(MD) No.220 of 2016, dated 05.02.2016, this Court deem it fit to extract the same and dispose of the Writ Appeal on the same lines:

"4.In a similar writ appeal filed by the State in the Principal Bench, a Division Bench of this Court has passed the following order in W.A.No.1299 of 2015, dated 14.09.2015.

'4.Be that as it may, the issue as to whether the provisions of the Act, will be applicable to a minority institution, is pending consideration in **Aswinithanappan Vs. Director of Education and another - (2014) 8 SCC 272.**

5.In view of the above, without going into the



merits of the case as to whether a teacher appointed in a minority school is required to have TET qualification, as the same is the subject matter of the Writ petition, we are of the considered view that interest justice would subserve, if the appointment of the first respondent is protected, without giving any direction to the State Government to grant temporary approval.

6.Mr.D.Krishnakumar, learned Special Government Pleader (Education) appearing for the appellants fairly submits that the first respondent shall not be terminated during the pendency of the said writ petition being W.P.No.14072 of 2015.

7.Accordingly, we direct that the first respondent shall be given her salary in the course of her employment and no steps to remove her from service shall be taken till the disposal of the writ petition. However, it is made clear that this order is subject to the final outcome of the pending writ petition. It is further made clear that the first respondent shall not claim any equity on account of this order, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties, therein.

8.This intra-Court appeal is disposed of accordingly. No costs. Connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed."

6.By consent, Writ Appeal is disposed of on the same lines as ordered in W.A.(MD) No.220 of 2016 dated 05.02.2016. Having consented, appellants are directed to comply with the orders. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Secretary,
School Education (C2) Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai.

2.The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai.

3.The District Educational Officer,
Madurai District.



4.The Assistant District Educational Officer,
O/o.District Educational Officer,
Madurai District.

SDR/DP/SAR I/06.06.2016/4P/5C

W.A. (MD) No. 625 of 2016
18.04.2016