



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.11.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

**Writ Petition (MD) No.3567 of 2015
and
M.P.(MD)No.1 of 2015**

P.Chermadurai,

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Managing Director,
TamilNadu Arasu Cable T.V. Corporation Ltd,
Marshal Road,
Egmore,
Chennai.

2. The Special Tahsildar,
Tamil Nadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation Ltd.,
Poombukar Building,
S.N.High Road,
Tirunelveli Junction,
Tirunelveli District.

3. Prasad ,
Deputy General Manager,
New Fuel Zirconium Complex,
Department of Atomic Energy,
Government of India,
Palayakayal
Srivaikundam Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st and 2nd respondents not to issue any LCO (Local Cable Operators) License in favour of 3rd respondent or his men pertaining to the New Fuel Zirconium Complex area, which is a portion of Palayakayal, Srivaikundam Taluk, Thoothukudi District, by considering the petitioner's representation dated 05.03.2015.

For Petitioner

: Mr.S.R. Anbarasu

For Respondents

: Mr.Abdul Saleem for

Mr.S.Satheesh Kumar

for R-1 & 2

Mr. A. Ganesan for R3

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking a direction, directing the 1st and 2nd respondents not to issue any LCO (Local Cable Operators) License in favour of either 3rd respondent or his men pertaining to the New Fuel Zirconium Complex area, which is a portion of Palayakayal, Srivaikundam Taluk, Thoothukudi District, by considering the petitioner's representation dated 05.03.2015 within the time frame fixed by this court.

2. According to the petitioner, he is a LCO (Local Cable TV Operator) in Palayakayal Area, Srivaikundam Taluk, Thoothukudi District under the Arasu Cable TV Corporation. The grievance of the petitioner is that he has given a representation to the 1 and 2 respondents on 05.03.2015, with regard to the illegal act of the third respondent. So far, the said representation has not been considered by the respondents. Hence, he has filed the present writ petition.

3. Mr. Abdul Saleem, learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 & 2/Arasu Cable TV Corporation submitted that they are ready and willing to consider the petitioner's representation within a time limit prescribed by this Court.

4. In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 & 2, the respondents 1 & 2 are directed to consider the petitioner's representation dated 05.03.2015, after giving opportunity to the petitioner as well as any rival claimant, if any, and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Managing Director,
TamilNadu Arasu Cable T.V. corporation Ltd,
Marshal Road, Egmore, Chennai.

2. The Special Tahsildar,
Tamil Nadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation Ltd.,
Poombukar Building, S.N. High Road,
Tirunelveli Junction, Tirunelveli District.
+1 cc to M/s. a. Ganesan, Advocate in SR.No.66603

vs

CSL/SS-2/14.12.2016 :2P/4C