



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Seventeenth day of June Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP(MD) No.8700 of 2016

- 1 A. STEPHENRAJ
- 2 R. DIRAVIYAM
- 3 R. AGASTIN
- 4 K. MURUGAN
- 5 S. POOMARI
- 6 C. THANGADURAI
- 7 K. MANIKANDAN
- 8 M. MANIKANDAN
- 9 M. MURUGAN
- 10 C. KARUPPASAMY
- 11 C. SANKAR
- 12 M. CHINNAVAR
- 13 M. SHANMUGAIAH
- 14 S. MAHESH
- 15 K. THIRUMALAI
- 16 K. MAHESWARAN
- 17 C. MARIAPPAN
- 18 S. GANESAN
- 19 M. PONNUSAMY,
- 20 K. ANTHONYRAJ
- 21 A. KALARANI
- 22 A. ROSALI
- 23 M. RAMUTHAL
- 24 M. KALYANI
- 25 S. BELSI
- 26 M. MALLIGA
- 27 T. SHANMUGATHAI
- 28 I. PRIYA
- 29 M. POONGUDI
- 30 C. RAJATHI
- 31 M. MARIAMMAL
- 32 V. SHANMUGATHAI
- 33 S. VANNICHI
- 34 R. RAJATHI
- 35 S. SUBBAMMAL
- 36 P. PITCHAIAMMAL
- 37 M. SANGEETHA

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED No.1 to 16
& 18 to 38



Vs

STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHINNAKOVILANKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 81 OF 2016)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

FOR PETITIONER : M/S S.BASKAR MATHURAM, ADVOCATE
FOR RESPONDENT : MR.K.ANBARASAN, GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE (CRL. SIDE)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 to 16 and 18 to 38, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 307 and 506(ii) I.P.C., in Crime No.81 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 27.05.2016, during a temple festival, there was a clash between the groups of the de facto complainant and petitioners and both parties were sustained injuries. On complaint, case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case and prays for anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

4.It is submitted by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. Scrupulously.



WEB COPY

6. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

sd/-
17/06/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SANKARANKOVIL.
2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHINNAKOVILANKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.BASKAR MATHURAM Advocate SR.No. 31122

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8700 of 2016
Date :17/06/2016

SMN
TE/NGM-MP/SAR-I : 21/06/2016 : 3P/6C