



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 04.01.2016

CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.KIRUBAKARAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.23495 of 2015

R.Murugan ... Petitioner
Vs.
1.The Commissioner,
(Revenue Administration),
Chepauk, Chennai 5.
2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul Division,
Dindigul. ... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent to complete the proceedings in Na.Ka.No.2538/2013/A4 dated 13.2.14 on the file of the 2nd respondent for issuance of Malaivedan Community Certificate to the petitioner and his son namely Vigneshwaran within the period that may be stipulated this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.v.P.Rajan,
For Respondents : Mr.M.Alagadevan,
Special Government Pleader.


ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN,J.**)

The petitioner has come up with the above writ petition seeking a limited prayer to direct the second respondent to complete the proceedings and to pass orders on his request for the grant of a community certificate for himself and his son.

2.Heard Mr.V.P.Rajan, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr.M.Alagadevan, learned Special Government Pleader takes notice for the respondents.

3.The petitioner appears to have submitted a representation on 22.03.2013 to the second respondent for the issue of a community certificate to himself and his son. His claim was that he belongs to Malaivedan Community. The petitioner seeks a community certificate issued in favour of one of his relatives.



4.It appears that the second respondent sought a report from the Tahsildar. After the Tahsildar submitted a report, the application of the petitioner was rejected holding that there was no document to prove the community certificate. The petitioner filed an appeal to the first respondent. The first respondent remanded the matter back to the second respondent by a letter dated 13.01.2015. As a consequence, the second respondent conducted an enquiry on 06.04.2015. Unfortunately, the petitioner could not attend the enquiry. It was postponed to 18.05.2015 on which date the petitioner appeared. Thereafter, no orders have been passed. Hence, this writ petition.

5.In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to complete the enquiry and pass orders in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

sms

To

1.The Commissioner,
(Revenue Administration),
Chepauk, Chennai 5.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul Division,
Dindigul.

+1 cc to Mr.B.Jeyakumar, Advocate, SR No.25

+1 cc to Special Government Pleader SR No. 447

Writ Petition (MD) No.23495 of 2015
04.01.2016

RG.AAL-MPA 18.01.2016 2P:5C