



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Fourth day of May Two Thousand Sixteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.N.PRAKASH

CRL OP(MD) No.7866 of 2016

WEB COPY

S.GOPINATHPANDIYAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED (RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

AMMAIYANAYAKKANUR POLICE STATION,

DINDIGUL TALUK,

DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

CR. NO.52/2016

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.R.SURESHKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Govt. Advocate

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.02.2016, for the offence punishable under Section 392 IPC, in Crime No.52 of 2016, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks bail.

2. Heard Mr.S.R.Sureshkumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.

3. It is seen that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.02.2016.

4. It is submitted by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) that the investigation has been completed and final report has been filed.

5. Taking into consideration the fact that on completion of investigation, final report has been filed, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakkottai.
- (ii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 06.30 p.m., until further orders.
- (iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take



WEB COPY

appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

6. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the condition or not.

sd/-

04/05/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NILAKKOTTAI.
- 2 DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
DISTRICT PRISON, DINDIGUL.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
AMMAIYANAYAKKANUR POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL TALUK, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S S.R.SURESHKUMAR Advocate SR.No.25925

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7866 of 2016

Date :04/05/2016

PA/GSV-PM/SAR I/04.05.2016/2P/7C