



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Seventh day of April Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD) No.7193 of 2016

PRAVEEN KUMAR

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

WEST TOWN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL,

DINDIGUL DIST, CR.NO.185/2016

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S L.GEORGE PAUL ANTO Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehended arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 387 and 506(i) I.P.C., in Crime No.185 of 2016, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard Mr.L.George Paul Anto, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.

3. Taking into consideration the nature of allegations made against the petitioner, this Court is of the view that this is a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to him. Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 06.30 p.m., until further orders.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during



WEB COPY

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner Accused in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

4. The Inspector of Police concerned is directed to send a compliance report to the office of the learned Government Advocate, as to whether the petitioner is complying with the conditions or not.

sd/-
27/04/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I, DINDIGUL.
- 2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
DINDIGUL.
- 3 THE ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
WEST TOWN POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

+1. CC to M/S L.GEORGE PAUL ANTO Advocate SR.No.24388

RG.NGM-SS/ SAR-I 04/05/2016 2P/6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7193 of 2016
Date : 27/04/2016