



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixth day of April Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice P.N.PRAKASH

WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD) No.5976 of 2016

1 MADURAI VEERAN

2 DHANAPACKIYAM

... PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED No.1 and 2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE

VADAMADURAI POLICE STATION,

DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

[CRIME NO. NOT KNOWN / 2016]

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S S.BALAJI Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehended arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 506(i) I.P.C., and 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, in Crime No.Not Known of 2016, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Heard Mr.S.Balaji, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr.A.P.Balasubramani, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State.


3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted that the respondent Police, after conducting enquiry, has registered a regular case, in Crime No.155 of 2016, on the complaint lodged by the *de facto* complainant, under Sections 506(i) I.P.C., and 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act

4. In view of the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), this criminal original petition is closed with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh anticipatory bail application by giving the Crime No.155 of 2016.

sd/-

06/04/2016

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VADAMADURAI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

RG.SK-SKN/SAR-I 12.04.2016 2P.3C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.5976 of 2016

Date :06/04/2016